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#### Abstract

Analogues of the natural product endothelin $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ receptor antagonists cyclo(-D-Trp ${ }^{1}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Glu}^{2}$ -$\mathrm{Ala}^{3}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4}-\mathrm{Leu}^{5}-$ ) (1) and cyclo(-D-Trp ${ }^{1}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Glu}^{2}-\mathrm{Ala}^{3}-\mathrm{D}-$ alloIle $^{4}$-Leu ${ }^{5}$-) (2) were prepared and tested for inhibitory activity against [ ${ }^{125} \mathrm{I}$ ]endothelin (ET-1) binding to protein $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptors. The DDLDL chirality sequence of the natural products appeared to be critical for inhibitory activity because conversion of either D-Trp or D-Glu (or both) in 1 to the corresponding L-isomer(s) abolished this property. Systematic modifications at each position of the natural products clarified the structure-activity relationships and led to highly potent and selective $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor antagonists. Most replacements of $D-T_{r p}{ }^{1}$ and Leu ${ }^{5}$ with other amino acids caused a significant loss of inhibitory activity. In contrast, replacement of $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Glu}^{2}$ with $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Asp}^{2}$ enhanced the activity. With regard to the $\mathrm{Ala}^{3}$ position, all analogues with imino acids, independent of being cyclic or acyclic, showed higher affinities than did the amino acid analogues. In addition, most replacements with amino acids, which had various functional groups in their side chains, did not significantly modify $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding affinity. The $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4} / \mathrm{D}$-alloIle ${ }^{4}$ position was very important for inhibitory activity, and a $\beta$-position branched $D$-amino acid or a D-heteroarylglycine was preferable at this position. Among synthesized cyclic pentapeptides, compound 36 (BQ-518) was the most potent $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor antagonist, with a $\mathrm{pA}_{2}$ of 8.1 against ET-1-induced vasoconstriction in isolated porcine coronary arteries. This compound also showed the greatest selectivity between $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}$ receptors ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for human $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}=1.2 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for human $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}$ $=55 \mu \mathrm{M})$. In contrast, compound $8(\mathrm{BQ}-123)$ is a highly soluble, potent, and selective $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor antagonist ( $\mathrm{p} A_{2}=7.4, \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for human $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}=8.3 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for human $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}=61 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The sodium salt of $\mathbf{8}$ is practically freely soluble in saline. These compounds are useful tools for not only in vitro but also in vivo pharmacological studies.


## Introduction

Endothelin (ET-1), which was first isolated from the culture medium of porcine aortic endothelial cells, is a potent vasoconstrictor consisting of 21 amino acids and belongs to a new peptide class. ${ }^{1}$ ET-1 is some 10 -fold more potent than the vasoconstrictor angiotensin II and has extremely long-lasting pressor effects. Studies including a human genomic analysis have identified two structurally- and functionally-related isopeptides of ET-1 termed ET-2 and ET-3. ${ }^{2-4}$ Several studies have

[^0]demonstrated that there are at least two different ET receptor subtypes: namely, the $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor, which is highly specific for ET-1 and ET-2, and the ET $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}$ receptor, which has almost equal affinity for all three isopeptides. ${ }^{5-7}$ Since these discoveries, evidence has accumulated that anti-ET agents may provide a novel therapy for the treatment of patients with hypertension, ${ }^{8-10}$ pulmonary hypertension, ${ }^{11-13}$ cerebral vasospasm, ${ }^{14,15}$ acute renal failure, ${ }^{16}$ asthma, ${ }^{17}$ and several other important diseases (for review, see ref 18).
$E T_{A}$-selective receptor binding inhibitors 1 and 2 (BE18257 A and B) were isolated from Streptomyces misakiensis in our laboratories. ${ }^{19-21}$ Their structures were deduced to be novel cyclic pentapeptides with the DDLDL chirality sequence of cyclo(-D-Trp ${ }^{1}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Glu}^{2}-\mathrm{Ala}^{3}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4}-$ Leu ${ }^{5}$-) for 1 and cyclo(-D-Trp ${ }^{1}$-D-Glu ${ }^{2}-\mathrm{Ala}^{3}$-D-alloIle ${ }^{4}$ Leu ${ }^{5}$-) for $2 .{ }^{22}$ Compounds 1 and 2 inhibit [ ${ }^{125}$ I]ET-1 binding to porcine aortic smooth muscle membranes that are rich in $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptors with $\mathrm{IC}_{\max 50}$ values of 3.0 and $1.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. In contrast, these compounds barely inhibit [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{ET}-1$ binding to porcine cerebellum membranes that contain $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}$ receptors exclusively, even at a concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Furthermore, compound 2 has been shown to antagonize ET-1-induced vasoconstriction with a $\mathrm{p} A_{2}$ value of 5.9. ${ }^{19}$ Although these natural products are attractive as $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$-selective antagonists, their moderate potency and poor water solubility ${ }^{23}$ ( $0.21 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ saline as a sodium salt $)^{24}$ limit their usefulness as a tool in pharmacological studies. There-

Table 1. Experimental ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Data for Compound 1 in DMSO- $d_{6}$ Solution ${ }^{a}$

| residue | chemical shift $(\delta, \mathrm{ppm})$ | ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{HNC} \alpha \mathrm{H})(\mathrm{Hz})$ | dihedral angle $\phi^{b}(\mathrm{deg})$ | $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{NH}} / \Delta T^{c}(\mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| D-Trp | 8.75 | 8.4 | -75 to $-45,85-95,145-155$ | 7.0 |
| D-Glu | 7.39 | 7.3 | -85 to $-35, \overline{80-90,155-160}$ | 1.3 |
| L-Ala | 8.72 | 8.0 | -155 to $-145,-95$ to $-80,40-80$ | 7.9 |
| D-Val | 7.51 | 9.2 | $90-105,135-150$ | 2.3 |
| L-Leu | 8.55 | 6.5 | -165 to $-155,-85$ to $-75,25-45,75-95$ | 6.0 |

${ }^{a}$ Spectra were recorded at 298 K and 300 MHz unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{b}$ Derived from a Karplus curve (see ref 28). The values consistent with the $\beta, \gamma$-conformation are underlined. ${ }^{c}$ Values were measured among 303,323 , and 343 K .

(a)
(b)


Figure 1. Two possible backbone conformations of compound 1. (a) The $\beta, \gamma$-backbone conformation, which is consistent with both NH shift temperature dependence data and ROESY data. (b) The $\gamma, \gamma$-backbone conformation, which is not consistent with the ROESY data.
fore, we attempted modifications of these lead peptides to identify more potent and more soluble $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ antagonists. A preliminary account of these studies has been reported. ${ }^{25}$ In this paper, we describe the experimental details and the additional structure-activity relationships.

## Backbone Conformational Analysis

A backbone conformational analysis of 1 was performed using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR techniques prior to its chemical modification. All of the signals in the spectrum were unambiguously assigned as previously reported. ${ }^{22}$ The temperature dependence of each amide proton chemical shift was examined to determine the relative solvent accessibility of the amide hydrogen. The chemical shifts of the amide proton in DMSO $-d_{6}$ varied linearly with temperature over a range of $30-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $20^{\circ}$ increments. The temperature coefficients for the amide-NH signals ( $\mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K}$ ) are listed in Table 1 together with chemical shifts ( $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for amide protons, vicinal coupling constants ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{NHC} \alpha \mathrm{H})$, and all possible values for dihedral angles $\phi$ (derived from a Karplus curve). ${ }^{26}$ The significantly low temperature coefficients for the amide-NH signals of $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Glu}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4}$ (1.3 and 2.3, respectively) suggest that these amide protons are involved in intramolecular hydrogen bonding. ${ }^{27}$ On the other hand, 2D rotating frame nuclear Overhauser spectroscopy (ROESY) showed close contacts between the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Tr}^{1}{ }^{1} \alpha \mathrm{NH}$ and the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Glu}^{2} \alpha \mathrm{NH}$, the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4} \alpha \mathrm{NH}$ and the $\mathrm{Ala}^{3} \alpha \mathrm{CH}$, the Leu ${ }^{5} \alpha \mathrm{NH}$ and the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4} \alpha \mathrm{CH}$, the $\mathrm{Ala}^{3} \alpha \mathrm{NH}$ and the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Glu}^{2} \alpha \mathrm{CH}$, and the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Tr}^{1}{ }^{1} \alpha \mathrm{NH}$ and the $\mathrm{Leu}^{5} \alpha \mathrm{CH}$, as previously described. ${ }^{22}$ It has been reported that two basic types of backbone conformation, each with two intramolecular hydrogen bonds, a $\beta, \gamma$ - and a $\gamma, \gamma$-backbone conformation, can be distinguished in cyclic pentapeptides. ${ }^{27}$ The $\beta, \gamma$-conformation (Figure 1a) is consistent with both NH shift temperature dependence data and ROESY data. In contrast, the $\gamma, \gamma-$ conformation (Figure 1b) is not consistent with the

Scheme 1. Methods A and B


ROESY data, although it is consistent with the NH shift temperature dependence data. The dihedral angles $\phi$ consistent with the $\beta, \gamma$-conformation are underlined in Table 1. The values for the dihedral angles of Leu, D-Trp ( $i+1$ and $i+2$ of the $\beta$-turn, respectively), and Ala ( $i+1$ of the $\gamma$-turn) are $-85^{\circ}$ to $-75^{\circ}, 85-95^{\circ}$, and $-95^{\circ}$ to $-80^{\circ}$, respectively, indicating that compound $\mathbf{1}$ has a type II $\beta$-turn in the D - $\mathrm{Val}^{4}-\mathrm{Leu}^{5}$-D.Trpp ${ }^{1}$-D. $\mathrm{Glu}^{2}$ region and an inverse $\gamma$-turn in the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Glu}^{2}-\mathrm{Ala}^{3}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4}$ region.

## Synthesis

The method for preparing most of the cyclic pentapeptides involved formation of precursor side chainprotected linear peptide hydrazides, conversion to acyl azides (by the method of Rudinger), ${ }^{28}$ cyclization in a manner analogous to that described by Veber, ${ }^{29}$ and deprotection of side chain-protecting groups. The precursor side chain-protected peptide hydrazides were synthesized in a manual synthesizer (Biolynx 4175; Pharmacia LKB Biochrom, Ltd., Cambridge, England) using the Fmoc-polyamide continuous-flow solid-phase methodology ${ }^{30}$ (Scheme 1, method A) or synthesized manually by Fmoc-based solid-phase synthesis ${ }^{31}$ on a $p$-alkoxybenzyl alcohol resin ${ }^{32}$ (Scheme 1, method B). The first residue was attached to the resin by reaction with an $N^{\alpha}$-Fmoc-protected amino acid symmetrical anhydride catalyzed by 4 -(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP). Deprotection of the Fmoc group was accomplished with $20 \%$ piperidine in $N, N$-dimethylformamide (DMF). Subsequent $N^{\alpha}$-Fmoc-protected (and side chain functional group-protected) amino acid residues were coupled as symmetrical anhydrides (prepared from the protected amino acids and diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIPC) in situ), as pentafluorophenyl (Pfp) or dihydrooxobenzotriazine (Dhbt) esters. The final residue was incorporated as an $N^{\alpha}$-Fmoc-protected (and side chain-protected) amino acid residue, and the $N^{\alpha}$-Fmoc group was deprotected under standard conditions before cleavage from the resin by hydrazinolysis ( $10 \%$ hydrazine hydrate in dioxane/methanol (9:1) or DMF). The side chain-protected acyl hydrazide was converted to the corresponding acyl azide using isoamyl nitrite at pH

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Compound $10^{a}$

cycla-D-Trp-D-Sal(ONa)-Pro-D-Val-Leu-) 10
${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) EDCI/HOBT/Boc-D-Val-OH; (ii) TFA; (iii) EDCI/ HOBT/NMM/Boc-Pro-OH; (iv) EDCI/HOBT/NMM/Boc-D-Sal(ONa)OH ; (v) EDCI/HOBT/NMM/Boc-D-Trp-OH; (vi) hydrazine hydrate/ DMF; (vii) TFA-EDT (95:5); (viii) HCl/isoamyl nitrite/-30 to -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ix) dilution with $\mathrm{DMF} /-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (x) triethyl amine/ -60 to -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{overnight}$; (xi) ion-exchange resin columns (first, amberlite IR$120 \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{H}^{+}$-form; second, amberlite IRC-50 $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$-form).
$2-3$ at -30 to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After dilution with precooled DMF, triethylamine (TEA) was added to liberate the free amino ( $\mathrm{pH} 8-9$, below $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), which allowed spontaneous cyclization $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Deprotection of the side chain-protected cyclic pentapeptides with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/1,2-ethanedithiol (EDT) (95:5) yielded the desired cyclic pentapeptides. Usually, the fifth position of the amino acid residues of the cyclic pentapeptides was chosen for the first residues of the solidphase syntheses. In the case of 38, however, when Pro ${ }^{5}$ was chosen for the first residue of the solid-phase synthesis, rapid loss of $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}-\mathrm{Pro}$ dipeptide from the resin was observed after Fmoc deprotection of the D-Val residue, presumably by diketopiperazine formation. In this case, the third residue of the cyclic pentapeptide, Ala, was chosen for the first residue of the solid-phase synthesis. In the case of the ( $R$ )-thiazolidine-4-carboxylic acid (Thz)-containing analogue 64, the coupling of Fmoc-D-Asp $\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)$ to the Thz residue was difficult to accomplish by the usual methods (Fmoc-D-Asp( $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ )/ DIPC/HOBT or Fmoc-D-Asp( $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ )-OPfp/HOBT) because of the low nucleophilicity of the $\alpha$-imino group of the D-Thz residue. For this reason, Fmoc-D-Asp( $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ ) was coupled as the symmetrical anhydride in the presence of DMAP as a catalyst. The 3 -sulfo-L-alanine (Sal)-containing peptide hydrazide for 49 was also prepared in a solid phase. The Sal residue was intro-
duced to the peptide as a tetrabutylammonium salt of Fmoc-Sal according to the reported method. ${ }^{33}$

The D-Sal-containing compound 10 was synthesized in an alternative liquid phase as illustrated in Scheme 2. The N -terminal Boc-protected linear pentapeptide benzyl ester 69 was prepared using a stepwise elongation method. The Boc group was employed as an aminoprotecting group. 1-[3-(Dimethylamino)propyl]-3-ethylcarbodiimide (EDCI) and HOBT were used in coupling reactions. Deprotection of the Boc was accomplished with TFA. The linear pentapeptide 69 was treated with hydrazine hydrate in DMF to afford the N-terminalprotected peptide hydrazide 70. The Boc group was removed by TFA containing $5 \%$ EDT. The resulting N-terminal unprotected peptide hydrazide 71 was cyclized by the same procedures as in method A to provide 10 (in $24 \%$ total yield), which was isolated as a sodium salt by passage through cation-exchange resin columns.

The synthesis of an aminomalonic acid (Ama)containing analogue, 7, is illustrated in Scheme 3. Diethyl aminomalonate was coupled with Z-D-Trp to produce the dipeptide diethyl ester 74 ( $93 \%$ ), which was hydrolyzed with 1 equiv of NaOH to give the dipeptide monoethyl ester 75 ( $88 \%$ ) as a mixture of two diastereoisomers. The monoethyl ester 75 was coupled with the N -terminal-deprotected tripeptide Pac ester derived from 73 to afford the N - and C-terminal-protected linear pentapeptide 76 ( $36 \%$ ) as a mixture of two diastereoisomers, which were inseparable on silica gel chromatography. Deprotection of Pac and Z groups by Zn / AcOH and catalytic hydrogenation, followed by cyclization by EDCI and HOBT, yielded two cyclic pentapeptide ethyl esters $78 \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, in total yields of $26 \%$ and $19 \%$, respectively. Alkaline hydrolysis of 78a yielded the cyclic pentapeptide 7 in an $87 \%$ yield. Alkaline hydrolysis of $\mathbf{7 8 b}$, the diastereoisomer of 78a, also yielded the same cyclic pentapeptide, $\mathbf{7}$, in an $80 \%$ yield; the corresponding diastereoisomer of 7 was not obtained, perhaps because the $\alpha$-position of the Ama residue in either of the two ester 78a,b isomerized under the alkaline hydrolysis conditions. The configuration of the Ama residue in 7 was determined to be "D" by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR temperature gradient study. Table 2 lists the temperature coefficients for the amide- NH signals ( $\mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K}$ ) in 7. The low values of the Ama and D-Val residues ( 2.0 and 0.50 , respectively) indicate that these amide protons are involved in intramolecular hydrogen bonds. This pattern of intramolecular hydrogen bonds is found in 1, which has a DDLDL chirality sequence as mentioned

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Compound $7^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} /$ phenacyl bromide; (iii) TFA ; (iv) Boc-Pro; (v) NMM/EDCI/HOBT; (vi) NaOH (aq); (vii) zinc powder/ $90 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$.

Table 2. Experimental ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Data for Compound 7 in DMSO- $d_{6}$ Solution ${ }^{a}$

| residue | chemical shift <br> $(\delta, \mathrm{ppm})$ | ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{HNC} \alpha \mathrm{H})$ <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{NH}} / \Delta T^{b}$ <br> $(\mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D-Trp | 8.99 | 7.3 | 5.5 |
| Ama | 7.99 | 8.8 | 2.0 |
| D-Val | 7.50 | 7.8 | 0.50 |
| L-Leu | 8.69 | 5.4 | 5.0 |

${ }^{a}$ Spectra were recorded at 298 K and 300 MHz unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{b}$ Values were measured among 303,323 , and 343 K .
Scheme 4. Syntheses of compounds 11, 12, 16, and $17^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{KHCO}_{3} / \mathrm{DMF}$; (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} / \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{MeOH}$; (iii) $\mathrm{O}_{3}$; (iv) HCl (gas)/formic acid.
above, but is not found in 3 , which has a DLLDL chirality sequence as discussed later. These findings strongly suggest that 7 has a DDLDL chirality sequence; namely, the configuration of the Ama residue is " D ".

Compounds 11, 12, 16, and 17 were derived from compound 8 as illustrated in Scheme 4. Treatment of 8 with iodomethane and $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$ in DMF gave the methyl ester analogue 11 in a $58 \%$ yield. Reduction of 11 with sodium borohydride in ${ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{BuOH}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}^{34}$ afforded the D-homoserine ( D -Hse) analogue 12 in a $34 \%$ yield. Ozone oxidation ${ }^{35}$ of formylation ${ }^{36}$ of the indolyl group in 8 afforded the D- $N^{\prime}$-formylkynurenine (D-NFK) analogue 16 or the D- $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{in}}$-formyltryptophan analogue 17 in yields of $60 \%$ and $51 \%$, respectively.

The synthesis of 18 and 19 , both of which are analogues of $N^{\text {in }}$-modified D-tryptophan, is illustrated in Scheme 5. For the synthesis of the $N^{\mathrm{in}}$-COOMe analogue 18, the $N^{\mathrm{in}}$-COOMe group was introduced as Boc-D-Trp( $\boldsymbol{N}^{\text {in }}$-COOMe) (81). Preparation of 81 was carried out in a manner analogous with that of $N^{\mathrm{in}_{-}}$ [(2,2,2-trichloroethoxy)carbonyl]tryptophan. ${ }^{37}$ Namely,

Chart 1. Synthesis of Compound 65


Boc-d-Trp-OBzl (79) prepared from Boc-D-Trp (in a $94 \%$ yield) by the cesium salt method ${ }^{38}$ was allowed to react with methyl chloroformate in the presence of NaOH and catalytic amounts of tetrabutylammonium hydrogen sulfate to produce 80 ( $90 \%$ ), which was subsequently hydrogenated to give 81 in a quantitative yield. Compound 81 was coupled with the $N$-terminal-deprotected tetrapeptide Pac ester derived from 82 to give the N and C-terminal-protected linear pentapeptide 83 ( $84 \%$ ). Deprotection of the Boc- and Pac-protecting groups of 83 was accomplished by formic acid and $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ to give 84 ( $89 \%$ ), which was cyclized by EDCI and HOBT to afford the protected cyclic pentapeptide 85 ( $62 \%$ ). Catalytic hydrogenation of 85 provided 18 in a $68 \%$ yield. In contrast, the $N^{\mathrm{in}}$-Boc analogue 19 was derived from the $N^{\mathrm{in}}$-unmodified D-Trp-containing and side chain-protected cyclic pentapeptides 88 , which is an intermediate for the large-scale synthesis of $8 .^{39}$ Compound 88 was reacted with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the presence of a catalytic amount of DMAP ${ }^{40}$ to yield the $N^{\text {in }}$-Boc deriva-

Scheme 5. Syntheses of Compounds 18 and $19^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} /$ benzyl bromide; (ii) $\mathrm{ClCOOMe} /$ pulverized $\mathrm{NaOH} / \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NHSO}_{4}$; (iii) $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$; (iv) TFA ; (v) $\mathrm{Boc}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Asp}$ ( OBzl ); (vi) NMM/EDCI/HOBT; (vii) zinc powder/90\% AcOH; (viii) formic acid; (ix) Boc-D-Trp; (x) TFA-EDT (95:5); (xi) (Boc) $)_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{DMAP} / \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.

Table 3. Physical and Endothelin A Receptor Binding Inhibitory Properties of Cyclo( $-\mathrm{AA}^{1}-\mathrm{AA}^{2}-\mathrm{AA}^{3}-\mathrm{AA}^{4}-$ Leu $\left.{ }^{5}-\right)$

| compd | $\mathrm{AA}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{AA}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{AA}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{AA}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{\text {max } 50}(\mathrm{nM})^{a}$ | method ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | FAB-HRMS ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$) |  | HPLC ${ }^{c}$ <br> $t_{\mathrm{R}}(\min )$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | calcd | found |  |
| $1^{d}$ | D-Trp | D-Glu | Ala | D-Val | 3000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{\text {d }}$ | D-Trp | D-Glu | Ala | D-alloIle | 1400 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | D-Trp | L-Glu | Ala | D-Val | $>100000^{e}$ | A | >270 | 599.3193 | 599.3168 | 21.5 |
| 4 | L-Trp | D-Glu | Ala | D-Val | $>100000^{e}$ | A | $>270$ | 599.3193 | 599.3189 | 18.0 |
| 5 | L-Trp | L-Glu | Ala | D-Val | >100 000 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | A | >270 | 599.3193 | 599.3220 | 15.8 |
| 6 | D-Trp | D-Glu | Pro | D-Val | 410 | A | 200 dec | 625.3350 | 625.3334 | 15.6 |
| 7 | D-Trp | D-Ama ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | Pro | D-Val | 6700 | D | 225 dec | 597.3036 | 597.3061 | 13.2 |
| 8 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Pro | D-Val | 22 | A | 160 dec | 611.3193 | 611.3206 | 13.8 |
| 9 | D-Trp | D-Aadg | Pro | D-Val | 25000 | A | amorphous | 639.3506 | 639.3531 | 17.3 |
| 10 | D-Trp | D-Sal ${ }^{h}$ | Pro | D-Val | 21 | C | >270 | $669.2682^{i}$ | $669.2733^{i}$ | 9.6 |
| 11 | D-Trp | D-Asp(OMe) | Pro | D-Val | 390 | E | 144-150 | 625.3350 | 625.3372 | 21.5 |
| 12 | D.Trp | D-Hse ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | Pro | D-Val | 1700 | F | 147-152 | 597.3401 | 597.3423 | 15.3 |
| 13 | D-Phe | D-Asp | Pro | D-Val | $>100000^{e}$ | A | >270 | 572.3084 | 572.3167 | 20.3 |
| 14 | D-Tyr | D-Asp | Pro | D-Val | 15000 | A | 180-184 | 588.3033 | 588.3055 | 6.9 |
| 15 | D-His | D-Asp | Pro | D-Val | > $100000^{e}$ | A | 185-189 | 562.2990 | 562.3002 | 4.7 |
| 16 | D-NFK ${ }^{k}$ | D-Asp | Pro | D-Val | 40000 | G | amorphous | 643.3091 | 643.3077 | 11.9 |
| 17 | D-Trp( $N^{\text {in }}$ - CHO ) | D-Asp | Pro | D-Val | 18 | H | 170 dec | 639.3143 | 639.3109 | 14.5 |
| 18 | D-Trp ( ${ }^{\text {in }}$ - COOM ) | D-Asp | Pro | D-Val | 29 | I | 164-173 | 669.3248 | 669.3275 | 30.8 |
| 19 | D-Trp( $N^{\text {in }}$ - Boc ) | D-Asp | Pro | D-Val | 1500 | J | 176-177 | 711.3718 | 711.3699 | $18.5{ }^{\text {l }}$ |
| 20 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Ala | D-Val | 110 | A | >270 | 585.3037 | 585.3057 | 14.8 |
| 21 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Ala | D-Thr | 4200 | A | 230 dec | 587.2830 | 587.2834 | 6.9 |
| 22 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Ala | D-Leu | 440 | A | 245 dec | 599.3193 | 599.3218 | 24.5 |
| 23 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Ser | D-Val | 190 | A | 250 dec | 601.2986 | 601.3000 | 12.5 |
| 24 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Ser | Gly | 35000 | A | 245 dec | 559.2516 | 559.2539 | 6.4 |
| 25 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Ser | D-Asp | >100 000 ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | A | 210 dec | 617.2571 | 617.2593 | 6.2 |
| 26 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Pro | D-Ala | 260 | A | 175-180 | 583.2880 | 583.2958 | 8.4 |
| 27 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Pro | D-Nva | 47 | A | 156 dec | 611.3193 | 611.3193 | 15.0 |
| 28 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Pro | D-Nle | 120 | A | 159-165 | 625.3350 | 625.3341 | 25.7 |
| 29 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Pro | D-alloIle | 12 | A | 190 dec | 625.3350 | 625.3309 | 21.7 |
| 30 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Pro | D-Ile | 64 | A | 149-153 | 625.3350 | 625.3365 | 21.4 |
| 31 | d-Trp | D-Asp | Pro | D-Pen ${ }^{n}$ | 49 | $\mathrm{A}^{\circ}$ | 185-190 | 643.2914 | 643.2894 | 15.6 |
| 32 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Pro | D-tert-Leu ${ }^{p}$ | 15 | B | 212-219 | 625.3350 | 625.3370 | 21.0 |
| 33 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Pro | D-Cpg ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.0 | B | 199-203 | 637.3350 | 637.3358 | 26.2 |
| 34 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Pro | D-Phg | 24 | A | 185-189 | 645.3036 | 645.3015 | 15.6 |
| 35 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Pro | D-Dpg ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 19 | B | 258-261 | 647.3193 | 647.3165 | 21.8 |
| 36 | D-Trp | D-Asp | Pro | D-Thgt | 3.0 | A | 251 dec | 651.2601 | 651.2617 | 13.9 |

[^1]tive 89 ( $81 \%$ ). Deprotection of the D-Asp side chain benzyl by catalytic hydrogenation provided 19 in a $52 \%$ yield.

Compound 65 was synthesized by a solution-phase strategy similar to that of the natural product 1 as illustrated in Chart 1.22 The linear pentapeptide, in which the indolyl group in the D-Trp residue and the $\beta$-carboxyl group in the D-Asp residue were protected by benzyloxycarbonyl and benzyl groups, respectively, but in which the hydroxyl group in the Hyp residue was unprotected, was cyclized by EDCI and HOBT to afford the side chain-protected cyclic pentapeptide ( $74 \%$ ), which was subsequently hydrogenated to give 65 in a quantitative yield.
Homogeneity of the final products was confirmed by TLC and HPLC, and structural integrity was assessed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and high-resolution fast atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectrometry.

## Biological Results and Discussion

All cyclic pentapeptide analogues prepared were first evaluated for their inhibitory activities against $\left[{ }^{125}\right]$ ET1 binding to porcine aortic smooth muscle membranes that are rich in $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptors, according to the prepared
method. ${ }^{19}$ Half-maximal inhibitory concentrations ( $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathrm{max} 50} \mathrm{~s}$ ) for the high-affinity sites are regarded as the $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor binding affinities of the compounds and are listed in Tables 3 and 4.

We first focused on the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Tr}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Glu}^{2}$ positions in the natural products because we suspected that these two areas mimic the C-terminal Trp of ET-1, which has been reported to be very important for its biological activities. ${ }^{41,42}$ Initially, we noted that the side chains of $\mathrm{D}-\operatorname{Trp}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Glu}^{2}$ in the natural products fit the same pockets in the $E T_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor as those fitted by the C-terminal l-Trp in native ET. We conjectured that replacement of either D-Trp or D-Glu (or both) in 1 with the corresponding L-isomer(s) might enhance $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding affinity. However, compounds 3-5 showed no affinity for $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptors. The decreased affinities can be explained by changes in the backbone conformation of the peptides, which is supported by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR temperature gradient studies. Table 5 lists the temperature coefficients for amide-NH signals in analogues 3-5, together with chemical shifts and vicinal coupling constants. Temperature coefficients for the amide-NH resonances of L-Glu ${ }^{2}$ and D-Val ${ }^{4}$ in 3 (4.08 and 8.36, respectively), $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Glu}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4}$ in 4 (5.59 and 7.70,

Table 4. Physical and Endothelin A Receptor Binding Inhibitory Properties of Cyclo(-D-Trpl$\left.{ }^{1}-\mathrm{D}_{-}-\mathrm{Asp}^{2}-\mathrm{AA}^{3}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4}-\mathrm{AA}^{5}-\right)$

| compd | $\mathrm{AA}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{AA}^{5}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{\max 50}(\mathrm{nM})^{a}$ | method $^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | FAB-HRMS ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$) |  | $\mathrm{HPLC}^{c} t_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | calcd | found |  |
| 37 | Asp | Leu | 180 | A | 270 dec | 629.2935 | 629.2946 | 11.8 |
| 38 | Ala | Pro | 8500 | A | 186 dec | 569.2724 | 569.2737 | 6.5 |
| 39 | Pro | MeLeu ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 35 | A | 208 dec | 625.3350 | 625.3386 | 20.2 |
| 40 | Asp | Ala | 2800 | A | $>270$ | 587.2466 | 587.2461 | 5.1 |
| 41 | Pro | Nva | 33 | B | 175-176 | 597.3036 | 597.3052 | 9.9 |
| 42 | Pro | Nle | 62 | A | 164-166 | 611.3193 | 611.3198 | 14.4 |
| 43 | Pro | Ile | 230 | A | 240 dec | 611.3193 | 611.3206 | 13.6 |
| 44 | Ala | Cha ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 5600 | A | 225 dec | 625.3350 | 625.3358 | 46.2 |
| 45 | Gly | Leu | 160 | A | 250 dec | 571.2880 | 571.2917 | 13.6 |
| 46 | Thr | Leu | 270 | A | $>270$ | 615.3143 | 615.3181 | 14.9 |
| 47 | Gln | Leu | 160 | A | $>270$ | 642.3252 | 642.3218 | 11.4 |
| 48 | Glu | Leu | 140 | A | 270 dec | 643.3091 | 643.2972 | 13.2 |
| 49 | Salf | Leu | 140 | A | $>270$ | $687.2424{ }^{\text {g }}$ | 687.2468 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 8.9 |
| 50 | Orn | Leu | 250 | A | 219 dec | 628.3459 | 628.3448 | 10.0 |
| 51 | Lys | Leu | 200 | A | 230 dec | 642.3616 | 642.3522 | 10.7 |
| 52 | Arg | Leu | 150 | A | 235 dec | 670.3677 | 670.3700 | 10.6 |
| 53 | Leu | Leu | 160 | B | $>270$ | 627.3506 | 627.3529 | 27.4 |
| 54 | Val | Leu | 530 | B | $>270$ | 613.3350 | 613.3393 | 20.9 |
| 55 | Cys | Leu | 240 | A | 245 dec | 617.2755 | 617.2762 | 17.4 |
| 56 | Met | Leu | 130 | A | >270 | 645.3070 | 645.3076 | 22.6 |
| 57 | Phe | Leu | 210 | B | >270 | 661.3350 | 661.3354 | 35.7 |
| 58 | Trp | Leu | 150 | A | $>270$ | 700.3459 | 700.3422 | 32.6 |
| 59 | His | Leu | 240 | A | 235 dec | 651.3255 | 651.3235 | 10.0 |
| 60 | $\mathrm{Aib}^{h}$ | Leu | 330 | A | 169-175 | 599.3193 | 599.3179 | 16.0 |
| 61 | MeAla ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Leu | 34 | A | 230 dec | 599.3193 | 599.3198 | 13.5 |
| 62 | Sar ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | Leu | 32 | A | 175-179 | 585.3037 | 585.3066 | 11.1 |
| 63 | Pip ${ }^{k}$ | Leu | 13 | B | >270 | 625.3350 | 625.3396 | 16.2 |
| 64 | Thz ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | Leu | 20 | A | 205-208 | 629.2757 | 629.2749 | 13.5 |
| 65 | Hyp | Leu | 18 | K | 188-195 | 627.3143 | 627.3159 | 10.5 |

${ }^{a}$ Half-maximal inhibition concentrations for the high-affinity sites of porcine aortic smooth muscle membranes. Values represent the average of more than three independent experiments unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{b}$ See the Experimental Section for description of general methods. ${ }^{c}$ Analytical HPLC was performed on a Capcell Pak C18 column ( $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5-\mu \mathrm{m}$ particle size; Shiseido Co., Ltd.). Solvent system was $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (45:55) with $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$, and flow rate was $0.85 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ unless otherwise noted. $d$ MeLeu $=N$-methyl-L-leucine. ${ }^{e}$ Cha $=3$-cyclohexyl-L-alanine. ${ }^{f}$ Sal = 3-sulfo-L-alanine. $g(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} .{ }^{h}$ Aib $=\alpha$-aminoisobutyric acid. ${ }^{i}$ MeAla $=N$-methyl-L-alanine.$^{j}$ Sar $=$ sarcosine. ${ }^{k}$ Pip $=$ L-pipecolinic acid. ${ }^{l} \mathrm{Thz}=(R)$-thiazolidine-4-carboxylic acid.

Table 5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Data for the Amide-NH Signals of Cyclo (-D-Trp $\left.{ }^{1}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Asp}^{2}-\mathrm{AA}^{3}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}{ }^{4}-\mathrm{Leu}^{5}-\right)$ in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ Solution ${ }^{a}$

| compd | $\mathrm{AA}^{3}$ | D-Trp ${ }^{1}$ |  | D-Asp ${ }^{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4}$ |  | Leu ${ }^{5}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | chemical shift | ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{HNC} \alpha \mathrm{H})$ | chemical shift | ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{HNC} \alpha \mathrm{H})$ | chemical shift | ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{HNC} \alpha \mathrm{H})$ | chemical shift | ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{HNCaH})$ |
|  |  | $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ | Hz | $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ | Hz | $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ | Hz | $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ | Hz |
| 20 | Ala | 8.73 | 7.8 | 7.60 | 7.4 | 7.37 | 9.4 | 8.65 | 5.6 |
| 23 | Ser | 8.58 | 8.2 | 7.69 | 7.1 | 7.35 | 9.3 | 8.54 | 6.4 |
| 37 | Asp | 8.76 | 8.5 | 7.59 | $b$ | 7.31 | $c$ | 8.66 | $b$ |
| 45 | Gly | 8.76 | 8.5 | 7.63 | 7.5 | 7.37 | 9.6 | 8.65 | 8.1 |
| 46 | Thr | 8.36 | 8.1 | 7.78 | 7.3 | 7.59 | 8.6 | 8.42 | 6.8 |
| 16 | Gln | 8.71 | 9.3 | 7.57 | 7.6 | 7.35 | 9.5 | 8.63 | 6.2 |
| 48 | Glu | 8.70 | 8.5 | 7.54 | 6.9 | 7.73 | 9.4 | 8.63 | 6.3 |
| 49 | Sal | 8.66 | 8.8 | 7.55 | 7.2 | 7.38 | 9.4 | 8.57 | 6.9 |
| 50 | Orn | 8.68 | 8.1 | 7.60 | 6.6 | 7.38 | 9.0 | 8.60 | 6.0 |
| 51 | Lys | 8.68 | 8.7 | 7.58 | 7.1 | 7.36 | 9.2 | 8.64 | 6.3 |
| 52 | Arg | 8.68 | 8.1 | 7.58 | 7.4 | 7.41 | 9.4 | 8.59 | 5.9 |
| 53 | Leu | 8.69 | 8.3 | 7.56 | 7.1 | 7.41 | 9.3 | 8.60 | 6.1 |
| 54 | Val | 8.51 | 8.4 | 7.56 | 7.1 | 7.45 | 9.3 | 8.45 | 6.8 |
| 55 | Cys | 8.69 | 8.1 | 7.63 | 7.4 | 7.33 | 9.6 | 8.62 | 6.7 |
| 56 | Met | 8.74 | 8.5 | 7.55 | 7.4 | 7.34 | 9.3 | 8.65 | 7.2 |
| 57 | Phe | 8.67 | 8.5 | 7.68 | 7.3 | 7.39 | 9.5 | 8.58 | 6.4 |
| 58 | Trp | 8.64 | 8.6 | 7.69 | 7.3 | 7.44 | 9.8 | 8.55 | 6.3 |
| 59 | His | 8.72 | 8.7 | 7.64 | 7.0 | 7.34 | 9.3 | 8.64 | 5.3 |
| 60 | Aib | 8.80 | 9.1 | 7.44 | 7.6 | 7.99 | 8.4 | 8.72 | 6.4 |
| mean | $\pm$ SD | $8.67 \pm 0.10$ | $8.5 \pm 0.4$ | $7.60 \pm 0.07$ | $7.2 \pm 0.3$ | $7.44 \pm 0.16$ | $9.3 \pm 0.3$ | $8.60 \pm 0.07$ | $6.4 \pm 0.6$ |
|  |  | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 18 |
| 8 | Pro | 8.78 | 7.9 | 7.71 | 8.8 | 7.49 | 10.3 | 8.76 | 4.3 |
| 61 | MeAla | 8.80 | 8.0 | 7.67 | 7.8 | 6.82 | 8.9 | 8.77 | 5.1 |
| 62 | Sar | 8.76 | $b$ | 7.69 | 8.7 | 6.88 | 10.1 | 8.76 | 5.0 |
| 63 | Pip | 8.81 | 7.0 | 7.73 | 9.0 | 7.73 | 9.0 | 8.82 | 4.2 |
| 64 | Thz | 8.80 | 8.3 | 7.83 | 9.8 | 7.01 | 9.8 | 8.81 | 4.7 |
|  | Hyp | 8.78 | 8.1 | 7.75 | 9.3 | 7.40 | 10.3 | 8.74 | 5.1 |
| mean | $\pm S D$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.79 \pm 0.02 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.9 \pm 0.5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.73 \pm 0.05 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $8.9 \pm 0.6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.22 \pm 0.34 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.7 \pm 0.6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.78 \pm 0.03 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \pm 0.4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Spectra were recorded at 298 K and $300 \mathrm{MHz}^{b}{ }^{b}$ These values could not be identified because of broadening of the signals. ${ }^{c}$ This value could not be identified because of overlap with the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Tr} \mathrm{T} \mathrm{H} 7$ signal.
respectively), and L-Glu ${ }^{2}$ and D- $\mathrm{Val}^{4}$ in 5 (3.45 and 7.82, respectively) are relatively high compared with those
of $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Glu}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4}$ in 1. These data suggest that the amide protons of $D$-(or $L-$ ) Glu ${ }^{2}$ and $D-V^{4}{ }^{4}$ in $3-5$ are
not shielded from solvent and may have an external orientation, whereas the amide protons of D-Glu ${ }^{2}$ and D -Val ${ }^{4}$ in 1 are involved in intramolecular hydrogen bonding. These findings clearly indicate that analogues 3-5 do not possess the two intramolecular hydrogen bonds found in 1; namely, these analogues do not adopt the $\beta, \gamma$-backbone conformation in 1 . These chirality conversions cause conformational changes in peptides that are not preferable for the affinity, suggesting that the DDLDL chirality sequence is very important for binding affinity. The chirality sequence was therefore retained throughout the following extensive modifications.
Compounds 6-12 represent modifications at the D-Glu ${ }^{2}$ position of the natural products. In these analogues, Pro was incorporated as the third residue because $\mathrm{Pr}^{3}$ analogues exhibit more potent $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding affinity and higher aqueous solubility than those of the corresponding Ala ${ }^{3}$ analogues, as reported in our previous paper. ${ }^{25}$ Compounds 6-9 are analogues of acidic D-amino acids that have carboxyl or carboxylalkyl groups as their side chains. In these analogues, $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding affinity was clearly dependent on the length of the alkyl chain between the carboxyl group and the $\alpha$-carbon of the amino acid residue. Maximum affinity was obtained with the analogue of D-Asp ( $n=1$ ). The analogue with the shorter length ( $n=0$, the D-Ama analogue) showed decreased affinity, and those with longer lengths ( $n=2$ and 3, the D-Glu and D-Aad analogues, respectively) also showed lower affinities. Compounds 10-12 represent replacements of the carboxyl group of D-Asp ${ }^{2}$ in compound 8 with sulfo, methoxycarbonyl, and hydroxymethyl groups, respectively. Replacement with a sulfo group resulted in affinity almost equal to that of 8 . Replacement with a methoxycarbonyl group resulted in an 18 -fold decrease in affinity, but the analogue was as potent as the D-Glu analogue and more potent than the D-Ama and D-Aad analogues. The hydroxymethyl analogue was much less potent than the methoxycarbonyl analogue but more potent than the D-Ama and D-Aad analogues. These data imply that the presence of an acidic functional group such as a carboxyl or sulfo group at an appropriate distance from the $\alpha$-carbon of the amino acid residue is very important for $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding affinity.

Compounds 13-19 represent modifications at the D-Trp ${ }^{1}$ position of the natural products. Replacement of D-Trp ${ }^{1}$ in 8 with D-Phe, D-Tyr, and D-His resulted in significant decreases in binding affinity. In particular, the D-Phe and D-His analogues exhibited almost no affinity even at a concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$, whereas the d-Tyr analogue exhibited weak affinity. These findings imply that both hydrophobicity and hydrogen-bonding ability are required at this position. $N^{\prime}$-Formylkynurenin is known to be an oxidative metabolite of tryptophan and seems to possess both hydrophobicity and hydrogenbonding ability. However, the D-NFK analogue exhibited lower affinity than did the D-Tyr analogue, suggesting that the $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor strictly discriminated the indole ring structure of the D-Trp residue. We then examined $N^{\text {in }}$-acyl derivatives of the D -Trp residue (1719). The formyl and methoxycarbonyl derivatives were almost equipotent to 8 , suggesting that the NH is not important for the hydrogen bonding. In contrast, the
derivative with a more bulky tert-butoxycarbonyl exhibited a 100 -fold decrease in affinity.

Compounds 8, 20, 23, 37, and 45-65 represent modifications at the $\mathrm{Ala}^{3}$ position of the natural products. Compounds 20, 23, 37, and 45-60 are amino acid analogues, and compounds 8 and 61-65 are imino acid analogues. With regard to the amino acid analogues, it is interesting that replacements at this position do not affect $E T_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding affinity significantly. These analogues exhibited $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding affinities in the 100300 nM range with a few exceptions. The Gly analogue 45 was only 1.5 -fold less potent than the Ala analogue 20. Incorporation of hydrophilic amino acids that had neutral functional groups such as Ser, Thr, and Gln (23, 46, and 47), acidic functional groups such as Asp, Glu, and $\operatorname{Sal}(37,48$, and 49 ), or basic functional groups such as Orn, Lys, and $\operatorname{Arg}(\mathbf{5 0}-52)$ in their side chains afforded compounds with similar affinities. The incorporation of moderately hydrophobic amino acids that had an alkyl group such as Leu (53) or aromatic functional groups (Phe, Trp, and His 57-59) in their side chains did not modify the activity significantly. The analogues with Cys or Met ( $\mathbf{5 5}$ and $\mathbf{5 6}$ ) also exhibited similar affinities. These findings imply that the side chain at this position does not bind to the $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor directly. The Val and $\alpha$-aminoisobutyric acid (Aib) analogues 54 and 60 , respectively, were the exceptions and exhibited relatively weak affinity compared with the other amino acid analogues. The decreased affinities were presumably due to an undesirable conformational change in the backbone caused by the introduction of a sterically-demanding amino acid such as Val or Aib. In contrast, analogues with cyclic amino acids such as Pro, L-pipecolinic acid (Pip), L-thiaproline ( $(R)$ -thiazolidine-4-carboxylic acid, Thz), and Hyp (8 and 6365) exhibited about 10 -fold higher $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding affinities in the $10-30 \mathrm{nM}$ range, compared with those of the amino acid analogues. Analogues with acyclic amino acids such as N -methyl-L-alanine (MeAla) and sarosine (Sar) (61 and 62, respectively) also exhibited higher affinities than those of the amino acid analogues but were a little less potent than the cyclic amino acid analogues. The higher affinity produced by incorporation of an imino acid is thought to be associated with a change in the backbone conformation of the cyclic pentapeptides because this moiety did not seem to bind to the $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor as mentioned above. We thought that a change in backbone conformation should be reflected by a change in the dihedral angle $\phi$ of each amino acid residue, resulting in a change in the vicinal coupling constant ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{NHC} \alpha \mathrm{H})$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. We therefore analyzed the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of analogues with $\mathrm{AA}^{3}$ modification. Table 6 shows the chemical shifts and vicinal coupling constants ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{NHCaH})$ of the amide-NH signals of the analogues. A significant difference was found between amino acid analogues and imino acid analogues in the vicinal coupling constants ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{NHC} \alpha \mathrm{H})$ of the Leu ${ }^{5}$ and D-Asp ${ }^{2}$ residues but not in those of other residues and chemical shifts of amideNH signals. This evidence strongly suggests that incorporation of imino acids at the $\mathrm{AA}^{3}$ position affects the backbone conformation of the cyclic pentapeptides. As discussed above, this series of cyclic pentapeptides is thought to adopt a type II $\beta$-turn in the D-Val ${ }^{4}$-Leu ${ }^{5}$ -D-Trp ${ }^{1}$-D-Asp ${ }^{2}$ region and an inverse $\gamma$-turn in the

Table 6. Experimental ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Data for Compounds 3-5 in DMSO- $d_{6}$ Solution ${ }^{a}$

| compd | residue | chemical <br> shift $(\delta, \mathrm{ppm})$ | $3_{\mathrm{J}} J(\mathrm{HNC} \alpha \mathrm{H})$ <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{NH}} / \Delta T^{b}$ <br> $(\mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | D-Trp | 8.29 | brs $^{c}$ | 4.93 |
|  | L-Glu | 8.30 | brs $^{c}$ | 4.08 |
|  | L-Ala | 7.26 | 7.1 | 0.45 |
|  | D-Val | 8.31 | brs $^{c}$ | 8.36 |
|  | L-Leu | 7.80 | brs $^{c}$ | 3.33 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | L-Trp | 7.27 | 7.0 | 1.18 |
|  | D-Glu | 8.57 | 7.9 | 5.59 |
|  | L-Ala | 7.75 | 8.8 | 1.82 |
|  | D-Val | 8.42 | 7.4 | 7.70 |
|  | L-Leu | 8.67 | 7.6 | 6.03 |
|  | L-Trp | 7.43 | 8.3 | 1.49 |
|  | L-Glu | 8.36 | 6.9 | 3.45 |
|  | L-Ala | 7.94 | 8.3 | 1.69 |
|  | D-Val | 8.59 | 7.3 | 7.82 |
|  | L-Leu | 8.49 | 8.1 | 7.51 |

${ }^{a}$ Spectra were recorded at 298 K and 300 MHz unless otherwise noted. $b$ Values were measured among 303, 323, and 343 K . ${ }^{c}$ Broad signal.
D-Asp ${ }^{2}-A^{3}-D-V a l^{4}$ region, with two intramolecular hydrogen bonds that are formed between $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4} \mathrm{NH}$ and D-Asp ${ }^{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Asp}^{2} \mathrm{NH}$ and $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$. The Leu ${ }^{5}$ and D-Asp ${ }^{2}$ positions are the $i+1$ and $i+3$ positions of the $\beta$-turn, respectively. It has been reported that a typical $\phi$ value of the $i+1$ position of the amino acid residue in the type II $\beta$-turn is $-60^{\circ} .^{43}$ The corresponding vicinal coupling constant ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{NHC} \alpha \mathrm{H})$ derived from the Karplus curve ${ }^{26}$ is $2.8-4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$. In the Leu ${ }^{5}$ residue, the imino acid analogues exhibited ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{NHC} \alpha \mathrm{H})$ values of $4.7 \pm 0.4$ (mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}, n=6$ ), while the amino acid analogues exhibited relatively large ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{NHC} \alpha \mathrm{H})$ values of $6.4 \pm 0.6$ (mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}, n=18$ ). The values of the imino acid analogues were much closer to the typical value than those of the amino acid analogues. This observation implies that imino acid analogues adopt a more constrained type II $\beta$-turn than do amino acid analogues. As discussed above, incorporation of imino acids at the $\mathrm{AA}^{3}$ position, although this position is not involved in the $\beta$-turn, generates a more constrained type II $\beta$-turn in the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4}-\mathrm{Leu}^{5}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Trp}^{1}$-D-Asp ${ }^{2}$ region, which is thought to bind to the $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor, resulting in increased $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding affinity.

In the course of our earlier work on analogues of natural products, replacement of $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4}$ in 1 (or $\mathrm{D}-$ alloIle ${ }^{4}$ in 2) with a hydrophilic D-amino acid such as D-Thr or D-Asp was done in order to improve solubility. However, replacement with D-Thr caused a 30 -fold decrease in affinity ( 20 vs 21); replacement with D-Asp also resulted in a significant loss of affinity ( 23 vs 25 ). Furthermore, replacement with Gly resulted in a 200 fold decrease in affinity ( 23 vs 24 ). These data imply that a hydrophobic amino acid is preferable at this position. Compounds 22 and $26-30$ represent replacements with hydrophobic D-amino acids having normal or branched alkyl side chains. Among analogues with D-amino acids having normal alkyl side chains (26-28), the D-Nva analogue exhibited the most potent activity, which was, however, 2 -fold less than that of the corresponding D-Val analogue 8 . Compounds 22,29 , and 30 represent replacements with D-amino acids having branched butyl side chains. The D-Leu analogue 22 was 4 -fold less potent than the corresponding $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}$ analogue 20. The D-alloIle analogue 29 was 2 times as potent as the corresponding $D-V a l$ analogue 8 , which is in parallel with the activities of the natural products 1 and 2 . In
contrast, the D-Ile analogue 30 was 3 -fold less potent than 8 . These data imply that side chain branching on the $\beta$-carbon is preferable for the affinity while that on the $\gamma$-carbon is not and that the $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor discriminates the stereochemistry on the $\beta$-carbon. We then incorporated D-penicillamine ( $D-P e n$ ), D-tert-Leu, and D-cyclopentylglycine ( $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Cpg}$ ) at this position. The D-Pen analogue 31 was 2 -fold less potent than 8 , which might have been due to the presence of a relatively hydrophilic mercapto group at the $\beta$-position. The D-tert-Leu analogue 32 was as potent as the corresponding D-alloIle 29, and the D-Cpg analogue 33 was 3 -fold more potent than 29. We further modified this position and incorporated (hetero)arylglycines. The D-phenylglycine (DPhg) and D-2-(1,4-cyclohexadienyl)glycine (D-Dpg) analogues 34 and 35 exhibited affinity almost equal to that of the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}$ analogue 8. The D-2-thienylglycine ( D -Thg) analogue 36 was the most potent $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding inhibitor ( $\mathrm{IC}_{\max 50}=3.0 \mathrm{nM}$ ) among this series of cyclic pentapeptides prepared so far and was 500 -fold more potent than the natural product 2.

Compounds 38-44 represent modifications at the Leu ${ }^{5}$ position of the natural products. The $\mathrm{Pro}^{5}$ analogue 38 exhibited 80 -fold less potent $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding affinity than the corresponding Leu ${ }^{5}$ analogue 20. In contrast, the analogue with $N$-methyl-L-leucine (MeLeu) (39) was slightly less active than the corresponding Leu ${ }^{5}$ analogue 8. These findings imply that the presence of the $\alpha \mathrm{NH}$ of the amino acid residue at this position is not necessary but that the side chain is important for binding affinity. We then incorporated various amino acids into this position. The Ala analogue 40 was $16-$ fold less active than the corresponding Leu analogue 37. Replacing Leu ${ }^{5}$ in 8 with Nva or Nle resulted in a 1.5or 3 -fold decrease in affinity, respectively. Among analogues with amino acids having straight chain alkyl side chains, the Nva analogue was the most potent, although it was less potent than the corresponding Leu analogue. The replacement of Leu with Ile resulted in a 10 -fold decrease in affinity, suggesting that side chain branching on the $\gamma$-carbon is preferable while that on the $\beta$-carbon is not. The analogue with 3 -cyclohexyl-Lalanine (Cha) (44) was also less active than the corresponding Leu analogue 20. These results suggest that the $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor is less tolerant to changes at the Leu ${ }^{5}$ position of the natural products.

Selected compounds that exhibited potent binding affinity to the porcine $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptors $8,10,33$, and $\mathbf{3 6}$ were further evaluated; the results are listed in Table 7. These compounds were observed to show only weak affinity to porcine $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}$ receptors ${ }^{44}$ and thus considered to be highly $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$-selective binding inhibitors. These compounds were further tested for their antagonistic activities against ET-1-induced vasoconstriction in isolated porcine coronary arteries by the reported method. ${ }^{45}$ Compounds $8,10,33$, and 36 strongly antagonized ET1 -induced vasoconstriction with $\mathrm{p} A_{2}$ values of $7.4,7.4$, 7.5 , and 8.1 , respectively. These compounds showed no intrinsic agonist activity even at concentrations of up to $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, indicating that they are potent and selective $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor antagonists with no agonist activity. Compounds $8,10,33$, and 36 together with the natural product 2 were further tested with regard to inhibitory effects on [ ${ }^{125}$ I]ET-1 binding to human neuroblastomaderived cell line SK-N-MC membranes, which express

Table 7. Receptor Binding, Antivasoconstriction, and Solubility Data for Selected Cyclic Pentapeptides

|  | receptor binding inhibition $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mathrm{nM}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | $\mathrm{pET}_{\mathrm{A}^{a, b}}$ | $\mathrm{hET}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{c, d}$ | $\mathrm{pET}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{b, e}$ | $\mathrm{hET}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{d,}$ | antivasoconstriction $\mathrm{pA}_{2}$ | solubility $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}) \varepsilon$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1400 | 590 | $>100000$ | $>100000$ | $5.9^{h}$ | 0.21 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 22 | 8.3 | 18000 | 61000 | $7.4^{i}$ | 71000 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 21 | 30 | 30000 | $>100000$ | $7.4^{i}$ | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 4.0 | 3.4 | 4800 | 21000 | $7.5^{i}$ | $>100$ |
| $\mathbf{3 6}$ | 3.0 | 1.2 | 19000 | 55000 | 4.0 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Porcine aortic smooth muscle membranes. ${ }^{b}$ Values represent the average of more than three independent experiments. ${ }^{c}$ Human neuroblastoma-derived cell line SK-N-MC membranes. ${ }^{d}$ Values represent the average of two independent experiments. ${ }^{e}$ Porcine cerebellum membranes. ${ }^{f}$ Human Girardi heart cell membranes. ${ }^{g}$ Measured on sodium salts in saline. ${ }^{h}$ Isolated rabbit iliac arteries. ${ }^{i}$ Isolated porcine coronary arteries.
$\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptors, and human Girardi heart cell membranes, which exclusively possess $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}$ receptors. ${ }^{46,47}$ Compounds $8,10,33$, and $\mathbf{3 6}$ showed potent affinity to human $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptors as well as to porcine $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptors, whereas the natural product 2 showed moderate affinity in both species. In contrast, the compounds showed only weak affinity to human $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}$ receptors. These compounds appeared to be highly $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$-selective in human receptor systems as well as in porcine receptor systems. We then evaluated the solubility ${ }^{23}$ of the sodium salts ${ }^{24}$ of compounds $8,10,33$, and 36 in saline. The sodium salts of these compounds were all much more soluble than those of the natural product 2 ; in particular, the sodium salt of 8 showed excellent solubility of $>1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ saline.

## Conclusions

Backbone conformational analysis of the natural product cyclic pentapeptide 1 using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR techniques revealed that this cyclic pentapeptide possesses a type II $\beta$-turn in the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4}$-Leu ${ }^{5}$-D-Trp ${ }^{1}$-D-Glu ${ }^{2}$ region and an inverse $\gamma$-turn in the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Glu}^{2}-\mathrm{Ala}^{3}$-D-Val ${ }^{4}$ region with two intramolecular hydrogen bonds. Chirality changes at the D-Trp ${ }^{1}$ and/or D-Glu ${ }^{2}$ positions of 1 destroyed the $\beta, \gamma$-backbone conformation and abolished $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor binding affinity. The DDLDL chirality sequence was thus proved to be very important for the activity of this series of compounds.
Systematic modifications of the natural products 1 and 2 clarified the structure-activity relationships at each position of amino acid residues. Most replacements of D-Trp ${ }^{1}$ and Leu ${ }^{5}$ with other amino acids caused a significant loss of activity, suggesting that the $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor was less tolerant to changes at these positions. In contrast, replacement of D-Glu ${ }^{2}$ with D-Asp ${ }^{2}$ enhanced the activity significantly. Replacement of the carboxyl group of D-Asp ${ }^{2}$ with a sulfo group was tolerable, while replacements with methoxycarbonyl and hydroxymethyl groups decreased the activity significantly. These results indicate that the existence of an acidic functional group at a specific distance from the main chain of the peptide is important for the strong activity. The Ala ${ }^{3}$ position was shown to be widely tolerable. Incorporation of various amino acids with different functional groups in their side chains afforded analogues with similar $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding affinities, suggesting that the side chain at the $\mathrm{Ala}^{3}$ position does not bind directly to the $E T_{A}$ receptor. In contrast, the incorporation of imino acids, independent of being cyclic or acyclic, at this position afforded anglogues with increased affinities, compared with those of amino acid analogues. The increased affinity is thought to be associated with the more constrained type II $\beta$-turn that is adopted in this
series of cyclic pentapeptides. These findings suggest that the amino acid residue at this position can be deleted to generate a linear peptide $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor antagonist if the $\beta$-turn structure is retained. In fact, our linear peptide $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor antagonists represented by BQ-610 ( $N, N$-(hexamethylene)carbonyl)-Leu-d-Trp-(CHO)-D-Trp, $\mathrm{p} A_{2}$ (porcine coronary artery) $=8.2$ ) were generated from this concept. ${ }^{48}$ In addition, the introduction of functional groups on the side chain of the $A A^{3}$ residue can generate a cyclic pentapeptide $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ antagonist with a more preferable pharmacokinetic profile, without any loss of activity. The $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}^{4}$ position is critical for activity, and a lipophilic D-amino acid with a $\beta$-position branched alkyl side chain such as $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}$ or D-Cpg or a lipophilic $D$-heteroarylglycine such as D-Thg is preferable.
Of the cyclic pentapeptides synthesized so far, compound 36 ( $\mathrm{BQ}-518$ ) is the most potent $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor antagonist with the greatest selectivity between $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}$ receptors. The $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}$ selectivity ratios in human and porcine receptor systems are 46000 and 6300 , respectively. In contrast, compound 8 (BQ-123) is a potent and highly soluble antagonist with a high $E T_{A} / \mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{B}}$ selectivity ratio. The sodium salt of 8 is practically freely soluble in saline. Compounds 8 and 36 are useful tools for in vitro and in vivo pharmacological studies of endothelin and endothelin receptors; in particular, compound 8 is now widely utilized as a standard $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor antagonist.

## Experimental Section

Instruments and Materials. Melting points were determined on a Yanaco MP-S3 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian VXR300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS), and coupling constants ( $J$ ) are in hertz ( Hz ). (Note: in the description of the NMR spectra, the designation "brs" used alone indicates a broad signal of undetermined multiplicity.) Fast atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectra (MS) were recorded on a JEOL JMS-DX-300 spectrometer in either a glycerol or 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol matrix using xenon as a target gas. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were determined on the same instrument. Optical rotations were recorded on a Horiba Sepa-200 high-sensitivity polarimeter. HPLC analysis was performed on a Nihon Bunkoh instrument using a Capcell Pak C18 ( $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5-\mu \mathrm{m}$ particle size; Shiseido Co., Ltd.) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The effluent was monitored at 230 nm . The isocratic systems were as follows: flow rate, $0.85 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; and eluent, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $45: 55$ ) with $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ or $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $70: 30$ ) with $0.1 \%$ TFA. Column chromatography was carried out on E. Merck silica gel 60 ( $233-400$ mesh) unless otherwise noted. TLC analysis was performed on precoated silica gel glass plates 60 F $254(0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) purchased from E. Merck in the indicated solvent systems. Components were visualized under UV light, by ninhydrin spray, by Ehrlich reagent and/ or by phosphomolybdic acid reagent.

The tetrabutylammonium salt of Fmoc-Sal was prepared from L-cysteic acid (Sigma) according to the reported method. ${ }^{33}$ Other $N^{\alpha}$-Fmoc derivatives of amino acids (bearing appropriate side chain protective groups) were prepared in our laboratory by the method of Lapatsanis et al. ${ }^{49}$ or purchased from Kokusan Chemicals Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan) or Watanabe Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Hiroshima, Japan). Fmoc-Arg(Pme) Pfp active ester was obtained from Watanabe Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Hiroshima, Japan). Other $N^{a}$-Fmoc- (and side chain-) protected amino acid Pfp or Dhbt active esters were obtained from Milligen. The amino acids or amino acid derivatives D-Aad $\left(\mathrm{O}^{4} \mathrm{Bu}\right),{ }^{50} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Pen}(\mathrm{MBzl}),{ }^{51} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Cpg},{ }^{52} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Sal},{ }^{53}$ and Boc-D-Sal ${ }^{54}$ were synthesized according to the methods described in the literature with certain modifications. The following amino acids were commercially available: D-Aad, D-tert-Leu, and D-Phg (Aldrich); D-alloIle and D-Cys (Sigma); D-Dpg (Nippon Dasei Chemical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan); and D-Thg (Ward Blenkinsop \& Co., Ltd., London, England). Other amino acids or amino acid derivatives were obtained from Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan), Kokusan Chemicals Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan), or Watanabe Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Hiroshima, Japan). Solvents and other reagents were reagent grade and used without further purification unless otherwise noted.

Synthetic methods for the final compounds together with their analytical and physical data are outlined in Tables 3 and 4.

Method A. Cyclo(-d-Trp-DAsp-Pro-d-Val-Leu-) (8). (a) Intermediate Resin Fmoc-Leu-Resin (90). A functionalized polyamide resin (Ultrosyn B; Pharmacia LKB Biochrom, Ltd., Cambridge, England; $5.04 \mathrm{~g},>0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ of functional hydroxymethyl group) was acylated with the preformed symmetrical anhydride of $\mathrm{Fmoc}-\mathrm{Leu}(2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of DMAP ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF for 1 h . The resin was washed with DMF ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), tert-amyl alcohol ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times$ 2), acetic acid ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), tert-amyl alcohol ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), DMF ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), and ethyl ether ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ) successively and dried to give $90(5.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.093 \mathrm{mmol}$ of $\mathrm{Fmoc}-\mathrm{Lew} / \mathrm{g}$ of resin).
(b) H-d-Trp-DAsp $\left(\mathbf{O}^{+} \mathbf{B u}\right)$-Pro-d-Val-Leu-Resin (91). The continuous flow column of the Biolynx 4175 manual synthesizer (Pharmacia LKB Biochrom Ltd., Cambridge, England) was charged with Fmoc-Leu-resin (90; $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.093 \mathrm{mmol}$ of Fmoc-Leu). Fmoc-d-Val-OPfp ( $0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv), Fmoc-Pro-OPfp ( $0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv), Fmoc-D-Asp( ${ }^{〔} \mathrm{Bu}$ )-OPfp ( 0.23 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and $\operatorname{Fmoc}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Trp}-\operatorname{OPf}$ ( $0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv), together with HOBT ( $0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv), were introduced to the continuous-flow system via the loading port of the synthesizer. The synthesis was carried out using the standard protocols of the Biolynx synthesizer. The resin was washed with DMF and deprotected with a 10 -min cycle of $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF. The deprotection in this and all other cycles was monitored by UV at 304 nm . Deprotection was followed by a $10-\mathrm{min}$ DMF wash. The acylations were performed by recycling a DMF solution of the activated ester of the desired residue and HOBT for $25-45 \mathrm{~min}$. Completion of the acylation reactions was confirmed by the Kaiser test. ${ }^{55}$ This was followed by a DMF wash, and the cycle was repeated. After the final cycle, the N -terminus Fmoc group was deprotected with a $10-\mathrm{min}$ cycle of $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF. The resin was transferred to a glass filter and washed with DMF ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), tert-amyl alcohol ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), acetic acid ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} \times$ 2 ), tert-amyl alcohol ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), DMF ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), and ethyl ether ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ) successively and dried under reduced pressure to give $91(1.00 \mathrm{~g})$.
(c) H-D-Trp-D-Asp $\left(\mathbf{O}^{+} \mathbf{B u}\right)$-Pro-D-Val-Leu-NHNH $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ (92). The resin $91(1.00 \mathrm{~g})$ was treated with 3 mL of $10 \%$ hydrazine hydrate in dioxane $/ \mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1$ ) for 1 h with occasional shaking. The resin was filtered off and washed three times with 5 mL of dioxane $/ \mathrm{MeOH}$ (9:1). The combined filtrate and washings were neutralized with dry ice and evaporated. Trituration of the residue with water yielded the peptide hydrazide 92 (26.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 41 \%)$ as a white powder: $\operatorname{TLC} R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}=10: 1\right)$ 0.20; FAB-MS m/e $699(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(d) Cyclo(-d-Trp-D-Asp( $\mathbf{O}^{\mathbf{H}} \mathrm{Bu}$ )-Pro-d-Val-Leu-) (93). The peptide hydrazide $92(26.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.038 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in DMF ( 1.0 mL ) was cooled to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an atmosphere of argon
followed by addition of 3.1 M HCl dioxane ( $49 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and isoamyl nitrite ( $7.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 10 min at -30 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TLC}$ indicated that formation of the acyl azide was almost complete. The solution was diluted with precooled DMF (11 mL ) and TEA ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) Added to pH 8 at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to stand at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and concentrated under reduced pressure. Water ( 4 mL ) was added to the residue to precipitate a solid, which was collected by filtration and dried in vacuo to give the side chain-protected cyclic pentapeptide 93 ( $24.0 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ) as a pale yellow powder: $\mathrm{TLC} R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}=10: 1\right) 0.44 ; \mathrm{FAB}-\mathrm{MS}$ m/e 667 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(e) Cyclo(-D-Trp-D-Asp-Pro-D-Val-Leu-) (8). A solution of the side chain-protected cyclic pentapeptide 93 ( 19.4 mg , 0.029 mmol ) in 2.0 mL of TFA/EDT (95:5) was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and concentrated under reduced pressure. Ethyl ether and hexane (1:1) were added to the residue to precipitate a pale yellow solid, which was collected by filtration, washed with water and dried in vacuo to give $8(12.0 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%)$ as a pale yellow powder: $\operatorname{TLC} R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{AcOH}=10: 1: 1\right)$ 0.61 ; $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D} 50.3^{\circ}(c=0.31, \mathrm{MeOH})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90-$ $1.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.10-1.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.55-1.98(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.21-$ $2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.2,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.10-$ $3.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.95-4.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,10.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.22-4.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.97(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dt}, J=3.9,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.75-8.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 10.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Method B. Cyclo(-D-Trp-D-Asp-Pro-D-tert-Leu-Leu-) (32). (a) Intermediate Resin Fmoc-Leu-AA Resin (94). To a solution of Fmoc-Leu-OH ( $4.14 \mathrm{~g}, 11.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 21 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $p$-alkoxybenzyl alcohol resin (Kokusan Chemicals Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan; $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ of functional hydroxymethyl group) followed by DCC ( $2.42 \mathrm{~g}, 11.7$ mmol ) and then DMAP ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then at room temperature for 2 h . The resin was washed on a glass filter with DMF ( 25 $\mathrm{mL} \times 2$ ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 4), \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1,25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 4)$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ) successively and dried to give $\mathbf{9 4}$ (3.64 $\mathrm{g}, 0.441 \mathrm{mmol}$ of Fmoc-Leu/g of resin).
(b) H-d-Asp $\left(\mathrm{O}^{+} \mathrm{Bu}\right)$-Pro-d-tert-Leu-Leu-NHNH2 (96). Fmoc-Leu-AA resin (94; $0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ of Fmoc-Leu) was placed in a reaction vessel, and the stepwise solid-phase synthesis was carried out as follows: (1) deprotection, $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF ( $5 \mathrm{~min} \times 3$ ); (2) washing, DMF ( $1 \mathrm{~min} \times$ 6); (3) coupling, Fmoc-D-tert-Leu-OH ( 2.5 equiv), DIPC ( 2.5 equiv), and HOBT ( 2.5 equiv) in DMF ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} ; 5 \mathrm{~h} \times 1$ ); and (4) washing, DMF ( $1 \mathrm{~min} \times 4$ ). Fmoc-Pro-OH, Fmoc-D-Asp( $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ )-OH, and Fmoc-d-Trp-OH were consecutively coupled in the same manner. The solvent volume for the deprotection and washing steps was 3.0 mL . The reaction time for the coupling step was $1-14 \mathrm{~h}$, and the completion of the each coupling reaction was checked by the Kaiser test. ${ }^{55}$ After the final coupling, the N-terminus Fmoc group was deprotected with $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF as described above. The resin was washed with $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and then dried in vacuo. The resin-bound peptide 95 was added to $10 \%$ hydrazine hydrate in DMF ( 10 mL ), and the mixture was stirred for 4 h . The resin was filtered off and washed several times with small amounts of DMF. The combined filtrate and washings were neutralized with dry ice and evaporated. Water ( 20 mL ) was added to the residue, and the suspension was passed through a Sep-Pak C18 cartridge (Waters Chromatography Division, Millipore Corp., MA). The cartridge was washed with water ( 60 mL ) and water $/ \mathrm{MeOH}$ ( $10: 1,60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and then eluted with $\mathrm{MeOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The eluate was evaporated to yield the peptide hydrazide 96 ( 59.5 mg , $56 \%)$ as a brown amorphous solid: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}=\right.$ 10:1) 0.51; FAB-MS m/e $713(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(c) Cyclo(-D-Trp-D-Asp (O ${ }^{\text {B }} \mathbf{B u}$ )-Pro-D-tert-Leu-Leu-) (97). The peptide hydrazide 96 ( $57.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in DMF ( 2.0 mL ) was cooled to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an atmosphere of
argon followed by addition of $2.9 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl} /$ dioxane ( $83 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24$ mmol ) and isoamyl nitrite ( $16 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 1 h at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TLC indicated that formation of the acyl azide was almost complete. The solution was diluted with precooled DMF ( 4.0 mL ) and TEA ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) added to pH 8 at $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to stand at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluted with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1:15) to give the side chainprotected cyclic pentapeptide 97 ( $35.7 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) as a white powder: $\mathrm{TLC} R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}=10: 1\right) 0.55 ;$ FAB-MS m/e 680 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
(d) Cyclo(-D-Trp-D-Asp-Pro-D-tert-Leu-Leu-) (32). A solution of the side chain-protected cyclic pentapeptide 97 (33.1 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.049 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of TFA/EDT (95:5) was stirred at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and concentrated under reduced pressure. Triuration with ethyl ether and hexane (1:1) gave 32 ( $28.8 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as a pale yellow powder: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{AcOH}=10\right.$ : 1:1) 0.68 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.87(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.98-1.24(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.60-1.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.91-1.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.24-2.29$ ( 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.71-2.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 3.26-3.37( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.45-3.60(1 H, m), 3.95-4.02 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $4.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19-4.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=4.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 12.28(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs $)$.

Method C. Sodium Salt of Cyclo(-D-Trp-D-Sal-Pro-D-Val-Leu-) (10). (a) Boc-D-Val-Leu-OBzl (66). To a stirred solution of Leu-OBzl-TosOH ( $3.94 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Boc-D-Val ( $2.17 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\operatorname{HOBT}(1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added TEA ( $1.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by EDCI ( $2.01 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 3 h , the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The mixture was washed successively with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, $10 \%$ citric acid, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo to give $66(4.15 \mathrm{~g}, 98.6 \%)$ as a white solid: TLC $R_{f}$ (hexane: $\mathrm{MeOH}=2: 1$ ) 0.57 ; $\mathrm{FAB}-\mathrm{MS} m / e 421(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(b) Boc-Pro-D-Val-Leu-OBzl (67). A solution of 66 (4.15 $\mathrm{g}, 9.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TFA (20 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h . The volatiles were removed in vacuo to give a pale yellow oil. To a solution of the above-mentioned oil and Boc-Pro ( $2.15 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added TEA ( $2.80 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to pH 7 followed by HOBT ( $1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and then EDCI ( $2.01 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at room temperature overnight. The mixture was washed successively with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 10 \%$ citric acid, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo to give a white solid ( 4.77 g ), which was recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane to yield 67 ( $4.09 \mathrm{~g}, 80.0 \%$ ) as colorless crystals: $\mathrm{mp} 136.5-139.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f}$ (hexane:EtOAc $=1: 1$ ) 0.42 ; FABMS $m / e 518(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(c) Boc-D-Trp-d-Sal-Pro-d-Val-Leu-NHNH $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ (70). A solution of $\mathbf{6 7}(259 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in TFA ( 5 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 15 min . The mixture was evaporated in vacuo to give a pale yellow oil. To a solution of the abovementioned oil and Boc-D-Sal(ONa) ( $153 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 2.0 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added TEA ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to pH 7 followed by HOBT ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and EDCI ( 101 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The mixture was evaporated to give crude product of 68 , which was utilized directly in the next reaction. The residue was dissolved in TFA ( 5 mL ) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . The mixture was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in DMF ( 5 mL ). To the solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added Boc-D-Trp ( $168 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TEA ( $140 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) to pH 7 followed by HOBT ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and EDCI ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55$ mmol ). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The mixture was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was taken up in water ( 20 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5)$. The combined $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to
give 535 mg of crude product of 52 as a pale yellow oil. An aliquot ( 322 mg ) of the crude 69 obtained above was dissolved in $10 \%$ hydrazine hydrate in DMF ( 1 mL ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 22 h and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by reverse-phase chromatography (nacalai tesque, cosmosil $75 \mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{OPN}, 30 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$, elution with $3: 7$ and then $1: 1 \mathrm{MeOH} /$ water ) to give 70 ( 149 $\mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ) as a colorless solid: TLC $R_{f}$ (BuOH:AcOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=$ 4:1:1) 0.60 ; FAB-MS $m / e 823(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(d) H-D-Trp-D-Sal-Pro-d-Val-Leu-NHNH2 (71). A solution of $70(149 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 mL of TFA/EDT (95:5) was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and evaporated in vacuo. Trituration of the residue with ethyl ether gave a crude product ( 162 mg ), which was purified by reverse-phase chromatography (nacalai tesque, cosmosil 75 C 18 -OPN, $30 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$, elution with 1:4 and then $2: 3 \mathrm{MeOH} /$ water $)$ to give $71(103 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ as a pale yellow solid: TLC $R_{f}$ ( $\mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{AcOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=4: 1: 1$ ) 0.48 ; FABMS $m / e 701(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
(e) Sodium Salt of Cyclo(-D-Trp-D-Sal-Pro-D-Val-Leu-) (10). To a solution of the peptide hydrazide 71 ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15$ mmol) in DMF ( 1.0 mL ) at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $3.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl} /$ dioxane ( $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was allowed to warm to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and isoamyl nitrite ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After being stirred at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min , the mixture was again cooled to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and diluted with precooled DMF ( 40 mL ) and then TEA ( $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) added to pH 7.5 . The mixture was allowed to stand at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by reverse-phase chromatography (nacalai tesque, cosmosil 75 C 18 -OPN, $30 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$, elution with $3: 7$ and then $2: 3$ $\mathrm{MeOH} /$ water) to give the TEA salt of 10 , which was dissolved in water and passed through ion-exchange resin columns (first, amberlite IR-120B $\mathrm{H}^{+}$-form; second, amberlite IRC- $50 \mathrm{Na}^{+}$form). The columns were washed with water, and fractions that contained pure materials were combined and evaporated. The residue was reprecipitated with EtOH and ethyl ether to give a sodium salt of $10(60 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%)$ as a pale yellow powder: TLC $R_{f}$ (BuOH:ACOH:MeOH = 4:1:1) 0.66; [ $\left.\alpha\right]^{20} \mathrm{D}$ $60.5^{\circ}(c=0.35, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.62$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.95-1.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.16-$ $1.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.55-1.93(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.19-2.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.58(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.15-$ $3.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.63-3.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.05-4.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.62(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.93-5.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Method D. Cyclo(-D-Trp-D-Ama-Pro-D-Val-Leu-) (7). (a) Boc-d-Val-Leu-OPac (72). Compound 66 ( $90.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.214$ mol ) was hydrogenated in $\mathrm{MeOH}(750 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ $(4.8 \mathrm{~g})$ under an atmospheric pressure of hydrogen. The catalyst was removed by filtration after the uptake of hydrogen ceased, and the filtrate was concentrated to produce the corresponding carboxylic acid ( $70.7 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ). The crude carboxylic acid ( 70.7 g ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$, and a solution of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(34.86 \mathrm{~g}, 0.107 \mathrm{~mol})$ in water $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in DMF ( 600 mL ). To the solution was added phenyacyl bromide ( $42.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.214 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . The mixture was then filtered to remove $\mathrm{CsBr}_{2}$, and the filtrate was evaporated. The mixture was dissolved in EtOAc ( 500 mL ), washed successively with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. Recrystallization of the residue from EtOAc/hexane gave 72 ( $90.48 \mathrm{~g}, 94.4 \%$ ) as colorless crystals: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}=9: 1\right) 0.76$; FAB MS $m / e 449(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(b) Boc-Pro-D-Val-Leu-OPac (73). A mixture of 72 (90.48 $\mathrm{g}, 0.202 \mathrm{~mol})$ in TFA ( 180 mL ) was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(700 \mathrm{~mL})$, and Boc-Pro ( $49.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.231 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. To the mixture at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added NMM ( $125 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.14 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) to pH 7 followed by HOBT ( $32.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.212 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and EDCI ( 40.7 g , 0.212 mol ). The mixture was allowed to warm to room
temperature and stirred for 3 h . The mixture was washed successively with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 5 \% \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo to give 73 ( $110.2 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ) as a white solid. This material showed a single spot on TLC and was used in the next reaction without further purification. TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}=9: 1\right)$ 0.65 ; FAB-MS m/e $546(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(c) Z-D-Trp-NHCH(COOEt) $)_{2}(74)$. EDCI ( $633 \mathrm{mg}, 3.3$ mmol ) was added to a solution of Z-D-Trp ( $1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), diethyl aminomalonate hydrochloride ( $698 \mathrm{mg}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TEA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and HOBT ( $505 \mathrm{mg}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h of stirring, the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 10 \%$ citric acid, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. Recrystallization of the residue from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /hexane gave 74 ( $1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ) as colorless crystals: mp $68-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{AcOH}=10: 1: 1\right) 0.72$; FAB MS m/e $496(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(d) Z-D-Trp-DL-Ama (OEt)-OH (75). To a stirred solution of $74(496 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetone $(2.0$ mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 1 h , the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for a further 1 h . The mixture was evaporated to remove organic solvents. The residue was taken up in water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl ether ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ) to remove unreacted diester. The aqueous layer was acidified with 6 N HCl and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluted with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(1: 3)$ to give 75 (412 $\mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as a mixture of two diastereoisomers: TLC $R_{f}$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{AcOH}=10: 1: 1\right) 0.32 ;$ FAB-MS m/e $468(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(e) Z-D-Trp-DL-Ama(OEt)-Pro-D-Val-Leu-OPac (76). A mixture of 73 ( $375 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TFA ( 2 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and evaporated in vacuo to give a pale yellow oil. To the amine TFA salt prepared above and $75(376 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added TEA ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to pH 7 followed by HOBT ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and EDCI ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 2 h , the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, 1 N HCl , and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by chromatography on silica gel (E. Merck, Lobar, Lichroprep, Si 60 , size B) eluted with EtOAc/hexane (3:1) to yield 76 ( 222 mg , $36 \%$ ): TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}=30: 1\right) 0.15$; FAB-MS m/e 895 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(f) Cyclo(-D-Trp-D- or -L-Ama(OEt)-Pro-D-Val-Leu-) ( $78 \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ ). Zinc powder $(0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred solution of $76(218 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $90 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h , the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for a further 1 h . The reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up with $1 \mathrm{NHCl}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give a colorless amorphous solid. The benzyloxycarbonyl-protecting group was removed by hydrogenation ( $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of MeOH ) to produce 77 ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) as a colorless amorphous solid. A solution of $77(135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of HOBT ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32$ mmol ) and EDCI ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 20 mL ) at room temperature over a period of 4 h . After being stirred at room temperature overnight, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$, and the combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (E. Merck, Lobar, Lichroprep, Si 60 , size A ) eluted with MeOH in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 50 \rightarrow 1: 30)$ to yield 78a ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%$ ) and $\mathbf{7 8 b}\left(26 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \%\right.$ ). 78a: TLC $R_{f}$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}=10: 1\right) 0.51 ;$ FAB-MS m/e $625(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 0.57(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.64(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.10-2.42(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.97-$ $3.70(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, ~ J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.7$, $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.75-4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

78b: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}=10: 1\right) 0.36 ;$ FAB-MS m/e 625 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.80-2.40(23 \mathrm{H}$, m), 2.90-3.70 (4 H, m), 4.00-4.50 (5 H, m), 6.90-7.70 (5 H, m).
(g) Cyclo(-D-Trp-D-Ama-Pro-D-Val-Leu-) (7). To a stirred solution of $78 \mathbf{a}(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(0.30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$. After $1 \mathrm{~h}, 1 \mathrm{~N}$ $\mathrm{HCl}(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. Trituration of the residue with water gave $7(16 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%)$ as a pale yellow powder: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{AcOH}=5: 1: 1\right) 0.49 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.74(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.90-1.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.40-2.00(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.20-2.30(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.1,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.05-3.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.00-$ $4.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.17(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Alkaline hydrolysis of $\mathbf{7 8 b}$ gave the same product as that obtained above. To a suspension of $\mathbf{7 8 b}$ ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.04$ mmol ). After being stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. To the mixture was added $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the resulting mixture was diluted with water ( 5 mL ). The mixture was passed through a Sep-Pak C18 cartridge (Waters Chromatography Division, Millipore Corp., MA), and the cartridge was washed with water. The product was eluted with MeOH , and the eluate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give 7 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ).

Method E. Cyclo(-D-Trp-D-Asp(OMe)-Pro-D-Val-Leu-) (11). To a solution of $8(122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 0.5 mL ) was added pulverized $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by iodomethane ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h . Water ( 10 mL ) was added, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic extracts were washed successively with water ( 10 mL ), $5 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, water ( 10 mL ), and brine ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (E. Merck, Lobar, Lichroprep, Si 60 , size A) eluted with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(1: 100)$ to give 11 ( $72.9 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ) as a colorless powder: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ : $\mathrm{MeOH}=30: 1) 0.33 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.72(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.15-2.22(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.37(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=4.0,16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.37-2.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.7,16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.3-3.55(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 3.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.7,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=4.9$, $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=4.0,9.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.05$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.18(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

Method F. Cyclo(-D-Trp-D-Hse-Pro-D-Val-Leu-) (12). $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of 11 ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOH}(0.75 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 30 min . After 3 h , excess $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ was quenched with 1 N NCl and the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between EtOAc and 1 NHCl . The organic layer was washed with 1 N HCl and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (E. Merck, Lobar, Lichroprep, Si 60 , size A) eluted with MeOH in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 40)$ to
produce $12(39 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%)$ as a colorless powder: $\operatorname{TLC} R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ : $\mathrm{MeOH}=30: 1) 0.22{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.60$ ( 3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=\mathrm{Hz}), 0.90-2.10(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.03-2.30$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.8,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.04-3.50(5 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3.1,8.2,11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.72-4.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.62(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
Method G. Cyclo(-D-NFK-D-Asp-Pro-D-Val-Leu) (16). Ozone ( $1-2 \%$, generated from oxygen) was bubbled into a solution of $8(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyrogallol ( $4.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in formic acid $(3.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature for 30 min . The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in water. The aqueous solution was passed through a Sep-Pak C18 cartridge (Waters Chromatography Division, Millipore Corp., MA), and the cartridge was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (9:1). The product was eluted with MeOH , and the fractions which contained pure material were combined and evaporated in vacuo to give 16 ( $6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ) as a pale yellow powder: $\mathrm{TLC} \mathrm{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ : $\mathrm{AcOH}=20: 1: 1) 0.26$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6} \%\right) \delta 0.76$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $0.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.20-2.00(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.15-$ $2.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.5,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.10-3.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.7$, $17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88-4.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.09-4.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.57-4.70$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.83-4.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.44+11.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 8.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
Method H. Cyclo(-D-Trp(CHO)-D-Asp-Pro-d-Val-Leu-) (17). Dry HCl gas was bubbled into a stirred solution of 8 $(3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0049 \mathrm{mmol})$ in formic acid $(0.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature for 15 min . After 50 min , the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. Trituration of the residue with water gave 17 ( $1.6 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ) as a pale yellow powder: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{AcOH}=10: 1: 1\right) 0.74 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.52-0.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.60-0.70(3 \mathrm{H}$, m), $0.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.11-$ $1.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.55-1.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.89-1.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.22-$ $2.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.33-2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.71-3.30(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.89-$ $4.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38-4.48(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 4.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.92-5.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.91-7.60(4$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.92-8.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.75-9.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 9.25+9.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}$ $\times 2$ ).

Method I. Cyclo(-D-Trp(COOMe)-D-Asp-Pro-d-Val-Leu-) (18). (a) Boc-d-Trp(COOMe)-OH (81). Boc-d-Trp ( 42.77 g , 0.141 mmol ) was converted to its benzyl ester 79 by the cesium salt method described by Wang et al. ${ }^{38}$ ( $42.22 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ). Methyl chloroformate ( $6.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a mixture of $79(12.19 \mathrm{~g}, 30.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, pulverized $\mathrm{NaOH}(5.1 \mathrm{~g}$, 130 mmol ), and tetra- $n$-butylammonium hydrogen sulfate ( 0.30 $\mathrm{g}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. After being stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , the mixture was washed with water ( $60 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was crystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /hexane to give 80 as colorless crystals ( $12.57 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ). Compound 80 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1$ mmol ) was hydrogenated in 8 mL each of MeOH and THF over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(60 \mathrm{mg})$ under an atmospheric pressure of hydrogen. The catalyst was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was evaporated to yield $81(399 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%)$ : TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ : $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{AcOH}=100: 10: 1$ ) 0.44; FAB-MS m/e $363(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(b) Boc-D-Asp(OBzl)-Pro-D-Val-Leu-OPac (82). A solution of $\mathbf{7 3}(110 \mathrm{~g}, 0.202 \mathrm{mmol})$ in TFA $(180 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min and evaporated in vacuo to produce a pale yellow oil. To the product obtained above in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~L})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added Boc-D-Asp(OBzl) $(75.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.232 \mathrm{mmol})$ and NMM ( $226 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.06 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) to pH 7 followed by HOBT ( 32.5 g , $0.212 \mathrm{~mol})$ and EDCI ( $44.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.233 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2.5 h .

The mixture was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 5 \% \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield $82(152 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$. This material showed a single spot on TLC and was used in the next reaction without further purification: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ : $\mathrm{MeOH}=9: 1)$ 0.69; FAB-MS m/e $751(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
(c) Cyclo(-D-Trp(COOMe)-D-Asp-Pro-d-Val-Leu-) (18). A solution of $82(700 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ in TFA $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h and evaporated in vacuo. To the product obtained above in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added 65 ( $372 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and NMM ( $0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to pH 7 followed by HOBT ( $139 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and EDCI ( $196 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 30 min , the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 h . The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL}), 10 \%$ citric acid ( 100 mL ), water ( 100 mL ), and brine ( 100 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluted with MeOH in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 30)$ to produce 83 ( $776 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ). The Pac protective group was removed by zinc powder ( $2.54 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $90 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$ ( 23 mL ), and the Boc protective group was removed by formic acid ( 20 mL , room temperature, 2 h ) to yield 84 ( $537 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ). A solution of 84 ( $535 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 20 mL ) was added to a stirred solution of EDCI ( $197 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HOBT ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 50 mL ) at room temperature over a period of 3.5 h . The resulting mixture was stirred overnight and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL}), 10 \%$ citric acid ( 100 mL ), and brine ( 100 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (E. Merck, Lobar, Lichroprep, Si (60) eluted with MeOH in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(1: 100 \rightarrow 1: 30)$ to afford 85 ( 323 mg , $62 \%$ ). The benzyl protective group of 85 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was removed by hydrogenation ( $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{MeOH})$. The crude product was purified by reverse-phase chromatography (E. Merck, Lobar, Lichroprep, RP-18, size B) eluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 2)$ to yield $18(60 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%)$ as a colorless powder: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{AcOH}=100: 10: 1\right)$ 0.46 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.57(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3$ Hz ), $0.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $0.80-1.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.10-1.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.57-1.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.85-1.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.20-2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.2,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.69-2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.90(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.03-3.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.20-3.50(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.87-4.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.32-4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J$ $=2.6,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43-7.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.78(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Method J. Cyclo(-D-Trp(Boc)-d-Asp-Pro-D-Val-Leu-) (19). (a) Cyclo(-D-Trp-D-Asp(OBzl)-Pro-D-Val-Leu-) (88). The Boc protective group of $82(152 \mathrm{~g}, 0.202 \mathrm{~mol})$ was removed by TFA ( 200 mL ), room temperature, 1.5 h ), and the product was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~L})$. To the solution of $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added Boc-D-Trp ( $66 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and NMM ( $89 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.81 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) to pH 7 followed by HOBT ( $37 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and EDCI ( 46 g , 0.24 mol ). After being stirred at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, the mixture was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 5 \% \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluted with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}^{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1:1:19) to produce $86(122.3 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%)$. The Pac protective group was removed by zinc powder ( $134 \mathrm{~g}, 2.05 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $90 \%$ $\mathrm{AcOH}(1.0 \mathrm{~L})$, and the Boc protective group was removed by formic acid ( 500 mL , room temperature, 4 h ) to afford 87 ( 77.7 $\mathrm{g}, 83 \%$ ). Cyclization of 87 by EDCI/HOBT in DMF was performed as described previously for 85, and purification by column chromatography on silica gel eluted with MeOH in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 50 \rightarrow 1: 30)$ yielded $88(68.8 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%)$ : TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ : $\mathrm{MeOH}=9: 1$ ) 0.54; FAB-MS m/e $701(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(b) Cyclo(-D-Trp(Boc)-D-Asp-Pro-D-Val-Leu-) (19). A mixture of 88 ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP ( $2.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018$ mmol ), and $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(38.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$
was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was taken up with EtOAc, washed with diluted HCl , dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluted with MeOH in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(1: 30)$ to yield 89 ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ). Compound 89 ( $32.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was hydrogenated in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ under an atmospheric pressure of hydrogen. The catalyst was filtered off after the reaction was completed, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel eluted with MeOH in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(1: 9)$ to give 19 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ): TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}=9: 1\right) 0.13 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(300$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.90-1.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.10-1.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.55-1.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.60(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.72-1.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.87-1.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.21-$ $2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=10.2,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.10-3.35$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.88-3.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.22-4.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.97(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=3.9,8.8,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.57(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Method K. Cyclo(-D-Trp-D-Asp-Hyp-D-Val-Leu-) (65). (a) Boc-D-Trp-D-Asp( $\mathbf{O B z l}$ )-O ${ }^{\text {t }} \mathbf{B u}(\mathbf{9 8})$. EDCI ( $540 \mathrm{mg}, 2.82$ mmol ) was added to a solution of Boc-D-Trp ( $767 \mathrm{mg}, 2.52$ mmol ), $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Asp}(\mathrm{OBzl})-\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ (prepared by the method of C . C. Yang and R.B. Merrifield; ${ }^{56} 701 \mathrm{mg}, 2.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and HOBT ( $429 \mathrm{mg}, 2.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(5 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 h . The mixture was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated NaHCO 33 ( 3 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL}$ $\times 2$ ), and the combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluted with EtOAc to give $98(1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$ as a white amorphous solid: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}=20: 1\right) 0.67 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.36(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.41(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.9$, $17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.16-3.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $4.39-4.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.55-4.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.98+5.06$ (each 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=1.3,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=$ $1.3,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25-7.38(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.3,7.6$ Hz ), 7.96 ( 1 H , brs).
(b) Boc-D-Trp(Z)-D-Asp(OBzl)-OBu (99). Benzyl chloroformate ( $0.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a suspension of 98 ( $1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 2.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetra- $n$-butylammonium hydrogen sulfate ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and pulverized NaOH ( 163 mg , 3.79 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at room temperature for 1 h . After the addition of water ( 20 mL ), the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (E. Merck, Lobar, Lichroprep, Si 60) eluted with hexane/EtOAc (3:1) to give 99 $\left(1.39 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%\right.$ ) as a white amorphous solid: TLC $R_{f}$ (hexane: $\operatorname{EtOAc}=2: 1) 0.65 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.35(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.39(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.76$, ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.6,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.92 ( 1 H , dd, $J=4.6,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.37-4.49(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 4.54-4.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.97+5.07$ (each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20-7.60(15 \mathrm{H}$, m), 8.15 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}$ ).
(c) $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Trp}(Z)$-D-Asp $(\mathbf{O B z l})-\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{B u}$ (100). Compound 99 ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to ice-cooled TFA ( 2.5 mL ), and the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO} 33(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$, and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( 3 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to give 100 ( $289 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}=30: 1\right) 0.44 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.39(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.70-2.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.94(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.7,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.71$
( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.7,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.67-4.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.02+5.11$ (each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.23-7.50(13 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.17(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$.
(d) Boc-Hyp-D-Val-Leu-OBzl (101). A solution of Boc-D-Val-Leu-OBzl ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in TFA ( 1.0 mL ) was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to give a colorless oil (H-D-Val-Leu-OBzl; $157 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ), which was dissolved in DMF ( 2.5 mL ). Boc-Hyp ( $119 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HOBT ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to the solution, and then EDCI ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 3 h . The mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was taken up with EtOAc ( 50 mL ), washed with $10 \%$ citric acid ( 5 mL ) and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(1: 1)$ to give $101(231 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%)$ as a white powder: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ $=10: 1) 0.43 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.84-1.02(12 \mathrm{H}$, m), $1.44(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.52-1.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.92-2.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.49$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.43-3.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.38-4.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.58-4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.12+5.17$ (each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 7.28-$ 7.42 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ).
(e) Boc-Hyp-D-Val-Leu-D-Trp(Z)-D-Asp(OBzl)-OBu (103). Compound 101 ( $229 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was hydrogenated in a mixture of THF ( 4.4 mL ) and $\mathrm{MeOH}(2.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ ( 23 mg ) under an atmospheric pressure of hydrogen for 3 h . The catalyst was filtered off, and the filtrate was evaporated to give Boc-Hyp-D-Val-Leu-OH ( $102 ; 191 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%$ ) as a white powder. EDCI ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a mixture of $102(187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}), 100(252 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$, and HOBT ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 2.1 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, stirred for 14 h , and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was taken up with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), washed with $10 \%$ citric acid ( 5 mL ) and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{EtOAc}(1: 1)$ to give 103 ( $369 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) as a white powder: TLC $R_{f}(\mathrm{CHCl} 33: \mathrm{MeOH}=10: 1) 0.59 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.75-0.96(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.30-1.80(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.35(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.36(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.98-2.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.80(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.13-$ $3.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.33-3.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,11.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.21-4.45(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.61-4.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.04+5.10$ (each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.40+5.45$ (each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 7.15-7.62(17 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.14$ ( 1 H , brs).
(f) Cyclo(-D-Trp(Z)-D-Asp(OBzl)-Hyp-D-Val-Leu-) (104). Compound 103 ( $364 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in TFA (3.6 mL ), and the solution was left at room temperature for 1 h . The mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was triturated with water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give a white powder ( 320 mg ). An aliquot ( 315 mg ) of the powder obtained above was dissolved in DMF ( 16 mL ), and the solution was neutralized with NMM ( $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was added to a mixture of EDCI ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HOBT ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32$ mmol ) in DMF ( 16 mL ) over a period of 80 min . After being stirred at room temperature for 11 h , the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was taken up with EtOAc ( 50 mL ), washed with $10 \%$ citric acic ( 5 mL ) and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\left(5 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} / \mathrm{EtOAc}(1: 2)$ to give 104 ( $218 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ) as a white powder: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}=10: 1\right) 0.63$; FAB-MS $m / e 851(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.00-1.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.25-1.40(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 1.45-1.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.75-1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.75-2.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,15.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.38-3.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.68-$ $3.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.55-4.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $4.19-4.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.99+$ 5.22 (each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.16-5.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.39+$
5.44 (each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20-7.50(14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs})$.
(g) Cyclo-(-D-Trp-D-Asp-Hyp-D-Val-Leu-) (65). A mixture of $104(15.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(3.1 \mathrm{mg})$ in DMF ( 0.54 mL ) was stirred under an atmospheric pressure of hydrogen for 2 h . The catalyst was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in water ( 3 mL ), and the solution was passed through a Sep-Pak C18 cartridge (Waters Chromatography Division, Millipore Corp., MA). The cartridge was washed with water and then eluted with MeOH . The eluate was evaporated to give $65(11.5 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%)$ as a white powder: TLC $R_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ : $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{AcOH}=10: 1: 1) 0.25$; FAB-MS m/e $627(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.72(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90-1.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.55-1.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25-2.40(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.7$, $14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.10-3.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.95-4.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.10(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.20-4.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $1.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.95-5.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.10-5.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 6.95(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.74(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8$ Hz ).

Endothelin Receptor Binding Assay Protocol. The binding assay protocol using porcine aortic smooth muscle and cerebellum membranes has been reported previously. ${ }^{19}$ The affinities for human $E T_{A}$ and $E T_{B}$ receptors were assessed using human neuroblastoma-derived SK-N-MC cell and Girardi heart cell membranes, respectively. These human cell lines have been reported to possess only $E T_{A}$ and $E T_{B}$ receptors, respectively. ${ }^{46}$ Binding experiments on $\left[{ }^{125} I\right] E T-1$ to membranes prepared from SK-N-MC or Girardi heart cells were performed by adding $12 \mathrm{pM}\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] E T-1$ to membranes in the absence or presence of increasing concentrations of test compounds in 50 mM Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl}$ buffer, pH 7.4 , containing 0.1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride, $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ pepstatin, $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ leupeptin, 1 mM 1,10-phenanthroline, 1 mM EDTA, $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl} 2$, and $0.3 \% \mathrm{BSA}$. After incubation ( 4 h , $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), membrane-bound ligands were separated by GF/C filters using Brandel's cell harvester and washed with cold 5 mM Hepes/Tris buffer containing $0.3 \% \mathrm{BSA}$. The filters were counted by a $\gamma$-counter. The nonspecific binding was defined by adding $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ET}-1$ to the assay mixture.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{+}$Abbreviations follow the recommendations of the IUPAC-IUB Joint Commission on Biochemical Nomenclature for amino acids and peptides (Eur. J. Biochem. 1984, 138, 9-37). Additional abbreviations are defined in the text or as follows: Ama, 2-aminomalonic acid; D-Aad, $\mathrm{D}-2$-aminoadipic acid; D-Sal, D-3-sulfoalanine; D-Hse, D-homoserine; $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{NFK}, \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-formylkynurenine; D -Phe, D -penicillamine; D -tert-Leu, $\mathrm{D}-2$ -amino-3,3-dimethylbutyric acid; D-Cpg, D-2-cyclopentylglycine; D-Phg, D-2-phenylglycine; D-Dpg, D-2-(1,4-cyclohexadienyl)glycine; D-Thg, D-2-(2-thienyl)glycine; MeLeu, $N$-methyl-L-leucine; Cha, L-3-cyclohexylalanine; Aib, $\alpha$-aminoisobutyric acid; MeAla, $N$-methyl-L-alanine; Sar, sarcosine; Pip, L-pipecolinic acid; Thz, ( $R$ )-thiazolidine-4-carboxylic acid; Boc, tert-butoxycarbonyl; $Z$, benzyloxycarbonyl; $F$ moc, fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl; Me, methyl; Et, ethyl; ' ${ }^{\mathrm{Bu}}$, tert-butyl; Bzl, benzyl; MBzl, 4-methoxybenzyl; Pmc, 2,2,5,7,8-pentamethylchroman-6-sulfonyl; Pac, phenacyl; Pfp, pentafluorophenyl; Dhbt, dihydrooxobenzotriazine; AcOH, acetic acid; TosOH, $p$-toluenesulfonic acid; EtOAc, ethyl acetate; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; DMF, $N, N$-dimethylformamide; DCC, dicyclohexylcarbodiimide; DIPC, diisopropylcarbodimide; EDCI, 1-[3(dimethylamino) propyl]-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride; $\mathrm{HOBT}, 1$-hydroxybenzotriazole monohydrate; DMAP, 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine; TEA, triethylamine; NMM, $N$-methylmorpholine; EDT, 1,2 -ethanedithiol; TMSOTf, trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate; HPLC, highperformance liquid chromatography; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$, retention time.
    ${ }^{\otimes}$ Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, August 1, 1995.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Half-maximal inhibition concentrations for the high-affinity sites of porcine aortic smooth muscle membranes. Values represent the average of more than three independent experiments unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{b}$ See the Experimental Section for description of general methods. ${ }^{c}$ Analytical HPLC was performed on a Capcell Pak C18 column ( $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5-\mu \mathrm{m}$ particle size; Shiseido Co., Ltd.). Solvent system was $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $45: 55$ ) with $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$, and flow rate was $0.85 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{d}$ Natural product ${ }^{e} n=1$. ${ }^{f} \mathrm{D}$ Ama $=\mathrm{D}-2$-aminomalonic acid. $g^{g}$ D-Aad $=\mathrm{D}-2$-aminoadipic acid. ${ }^{h} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Sal}=\mathrm{D}-3$-sulfoalanine. ${ }^{i}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, j^{j} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Hse}=\mathrm{D}$-homoserine. ${ }^{k} \mathrm{D}$-NKF $=\mathrm{D}-N^{\prime}$-formylkynurenine. ${ }^{l}$ Solvent system was $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(70: 30)$ with $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} .^{m} n=2 .{ }^{n}$ D-Pen $=$ D-penicillamine. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{TMSOTf}-$ thioanisole-EDT/TFA (ref 57) was employed for the final deprotection because the S-MBzl-protecting group of the D-Pen was not cleaved completely by TFA/EDT (95:5). ${ }^{p}$ D-tert-Leu $=\mathrm{D}-2$-amino-3,3-dimethylbutyric acid. ${ }^{q} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Cpg}=\mathrm{D}-2$-cyclopentylglycine. ${ }^{r} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Phg}=\mathrm{D}-2-$ phenylglycine. ${ }^{s} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Dpg}=\mathrm{D}-2-\left(1,4-\right.$ cyclohexadienyl)glycine. ${ }^{t} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Thg}=\mathrm{D}-2-(2$-thienyl)glycine.

